

District Overview

Moreland School District's Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) is aligned with our existing strategic plan which outlines the following broad goals and action items:

- 1. Close the achievement gap while raising the achievement of all students
- 2. Ensure effective communication
- 3. Attract, support, and retain exemplary staff
- 4. Prepare students to become responsible citizens
- 5. Ensure fiscal stability

We have organized our LCAP around these five strategic plan goals and one additional goal:

6. Increase the physical capacity of the district

Goal 6 was included in our LCAP because Moreland is a growing district. As a result, an additional elementary school was opened in 2014-15. To reflect this growth, and the resources that have been dedicated to support this change, we have added this additional goal.

Moreland has identified the following subgroups as students in need of increased support services: English Learners, children of poverty and students with disabilities. This focus is reflected in the LCAP which includes investments in after school programs, staffing support during the school day, and targeted interventions designed specifically to increase the achievement of these identified subgroups. The services to English Learners that are included in the LCAP are aligned with the district's existing Title III Improvement Plan.

District Mission:

The Moreland School District is a caring learning partnership of students, parents, staff and community. Through innovative and exemplary educational practices, we will empower all students with knowledge, skills, and critical thinking abilities, to become contributing and responsible members of a global society.

Our Core Beliefs:

To realize this mission, we must be clear that progress and success means all students achieving. We must hold ourselves accountable for achievement and growth at all levels, guided by the following beliefs:

- 1. Education should prepare students to think critically, to problem solve, and to make decisions.
- 2. Education should be challenging, rigorous, and developmentally appropriate.
- 3. Education should support students in the acquisition of important personal traits, such as honesty, responsibility, caring, and respect.
- 4. Education should respond to the needs of the students--consistent and current with the changes in culture, society, and the world.

District Data:

Moreland School District is located in San Jose, California. Currently we have six elementary schools, one middle school, one preschool and an independent study program. Our sixth elementary school was opened in 2014-15. In the past few years, our student population has begun to increase and change. This change was most evident between 2012-13 and 2013-14 when the student population increased by 193 students (4.3%) and the percentage of students designated as English Learners increased by 3.5% of district enrollment.

School Year	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Total District Enrollment	4,402	4,477	4,670	4,837
Numerically Significant Sub-groups:				
Asian	22.1%	23.6%	23.7%	28.2%
Latino	35.1%	34.5%	34.0%	33.5%
White	28.7%	28.1%	27.9%	27.8%
English Learner	24.9%	24.7%	28.2%	29.1%
Low Income	34.9%	33.3%	33.3%	34.5%
Students w Disabilities	9.8%	9.7%	11.0%	10.6%
Foster Youth	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	.04 (2 students)

Introduction:

LEA: Moreland Contact: Denise Clay, Assistant Superintendent dclay@moreland.org (408) 874-2904 LCAP Year: 2015-2018

Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template

The Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and Annual Update Template shall be used to provide details regarding local educational agencies' (LEAs) actions and expenditures to support pupil outcomes and overall performance pursuant to Education Code sections 52060, 52066, 47605.5, and 47606.5. The LCAP and Annual Update Template must be completed by all LEAs each year.

For school districts, pursuant to Education Code section 52060, the LCAP must describe, for the school district and each school within the district, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities.

For county offices of education, pursuant to Education Code section 52066, the LCAP must describe, for each county office of education-operated school and program, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, who are funded through the county office of education Local Control Funding Formula as identified in Education Code section 2574 (pupils attending juvenile court schools, on probation or parole, or mandatorily expelled) for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities. School districts and county offices of education may additionally coordinate and describe in their LCAPs services provided to pupils funded by a school district but attending county-operated schools and programs, including special education programs.

Charter schools, pursuant to Education Code sections 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5, must describe goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities as applicable and any locally identified priorities. For charter schools, the inclusion and description of goals for state priorities in the LCAP may be modified to meet the grade levels served and the nature of the programs provided, including modifications to reflect only the statutory requirements explicitly applicable to charter schools in the Education Code.

The LCAP is intended to be a comprehensive planning tool. Accordingly, in developing goals, specific actions, and expenditures, LEAs should carefully consider how to reflect the services and related expenses for their basic instructional program in relationship to the state priorities. LEAs may reference and describe actions and expenditures in other plans and funded by a variety of other fund sources when detailing goals, actions, and expenditures related to the state and local priorities. LCAPs must be consistent with school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. The information contained in the LCAP, or annual update, may be supplemented by information contained in other plans (including the LEA plan pursuant to Section 1112 of Subpart 1 of Part A of Title I of Public Law 107-110) that are incorporated or referenced as relevant in this document.

For each section of the template, LEAs shall comply with instructions and should use the guiding questions as prompts (but not limits) for completing the information as required by statute. Guiding questions do not require separate narrative responses. However, the narrative response and goals and actions should demonstrate each guiding question was considered during the development of the plan. Data referenced in the LCAP must be consistent with the school accountability report card where appropriate. LEAs may resize pages or attach additional pages as necessary to facilitate completion of the LCAP.

State Priorities

The state priorities listed in Education Code sections 52060 and 52066 can be categorized as specified below for planning purposes, however, school districts and county offices of education must address each of the state priorities in their LCAP. Charter schools must address the priorities in Education Code section 52060(d) that apply to the grade levels served, or the nature of the program operated, by the charter school.

A. Conditions of Learning:

Basic: degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned pursuant to Education Code section 44258.9, and fully credentialed in the subject areas and for the pupils they are teaching; pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials pursuant to Education Code section 60119; and school facilities are maintained in good repair pursuant to Education Code section 17002(d). (Priority 1)

Implementation of State Standards: implementation of academic content and performance standards and English language development standards adopted by the state board for all pupils, including English learners. (Priority 2)

Course access: pupil enrollment in a broad course of study that includes all of the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 7)

Expelled pupils (for county offices of education only): coordination of instruction of expelled pupils pursuant to Education Code section 48926. (Priority 9)

Foster youth (for county offices of education only): coordination of services, including working with the county child welfare agency to share information, responding to the needs of the juvenile court system, and ensuring transfer of health and education records. (Priority 10)

B. Pupil Outcomes:

Pupil achievement: performance on standardized tests, score on Academic Performance Index, share of pupils that are college and career ready, share of English learners that become English proficient, English learner reclassification rate, share of pupils that pass Advanced Placement exams with 3 or higher, share of pupils determined prepared for college by the Early Assessment Program. (Priority 4)

Other pupil outcomes: pupil outcomes in the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Education Code section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 8)

C. Engagement:

Parental involvement: efforts to seek parent input in decision making at the district and each schoolsite, promotion of parent participation in programs for unduplicated pupils and special need subgroups. (Priority 3)

Pupil engagement: school attendance rates, chronic absenteeism rates, middle school dropout rates, high school dropout rates, high school graduations rates. (Priority 5)

School climate: pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates, other local measures including surveys of pupils, parents and teachers on the sense of safety and school connectedness. (Priority 6)

Section 1: Stakeholder Engagement

Meaningful engagement of parents, pupils, and other stakeholders, including those representing the subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052, is critical to the LCAP and budget process. Education Code sections 52060(g), 52062 and 52063 specify the minimum requirements for school districts; Education Code sections 52066(g), 52068 and 52069 specify the minimum requirements for county offices of education, and Education Code section 47606.5 specifies the minimum requirements for charter schools. In addition, Education Code section 48985 specifies the requirements for translation of documents.

Instructions: Describe the process used to consult with parents, pupils, school personnel, local bargaining units as applicable, and the community and how this consultation contributed to development of the LCAP or annual update. Note that the LEA's goals, actions, services and expenditures related to the state priority of parental involvement are to be described separately in Section 2. In the annual update boxes, describe the stakeholder involvement process for the review, and describe its impact on, the development of the annual update to LCAP goals, actions, services, and expenditures.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) How have applicable stakeholders (e.g., parents and pupils, including parents of unduplicated pupils and unduplicated pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01; community members; local bargaining units; LEA personnel; county child welfare agencies; county office of education foster youth services programs, court-appointed special advocates, and other foster youth stakeholders; community organizations representing English learners; and others as appropriate) been engaged and involved in developing, reviewing, and supporting implementation of the LCAP?
- 2) How have stakeholders been included in the LEA's process in a timely manner to allow for engagement in the development of the LCAP?
- 3) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was made available to stakeholders related to the state priorities and used by the LEA to inform the LCAP goal setting process? How was the information made available?
- 4) What changes, if any, were made in the LCAP prior to adoption as a result of written comments or other feedback received by the LEA through any of the LEA's engagement processes?
- 5) What specific actions were taken to meet statutory requirements for stakeholder engagement pursuant to Education Code sections 52062, 52068, and 47606.5, including engagement with representatives of parents and guardians of pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01?
- 6) What specific actions were taken to consult with pupils to meet the requirements 5 CCR 15495(a)?
- 7) How has stakeholder involvement been continued and supported? How has the involvement of these stakeholders supported improved outcomes for pupils, including unduplicated pupils, related to the state priorities?

Involvement Process

Moreland School District followed a four-step process to engage with stakeholders in development of our LCAP:

Step 1: Inform

During this step in the process, we hosted informational sessions on LCFF/LCAP in various venues across the district. The goal of these sessions was to ensure that our community, staff, students, and local bargaining unit representatives were informed about the new law and its impact on our school district. All stakeholders were invited to attend these meetings including parents, students, school faculty and staff and union representatives. These engagements included five site-based meetings hosted by district leadership and two presentations to union representatives.

In addition to hosting the informational sessions, the PowerPoint presentation used during the meetings was posted on our website. We also shared portions of the information contained within this PowerPoint in all subsequent meetings to ensure that meeting attendees had a baseline level of information. The PowerPoint presentation used during these sessions was attached to the 2014-17 LCAP.

Step 2: Listen

We initiated this process by convening a district LCFF Task Force, comprised of teachers, staff, the presidents of our local bargaining units, principals and district administration. The Task Force provided commentary and suggestions on the community engagement process that we planned to use to gather feedback on LCFF.

Following the Task Force meeting, we hosted a series of community meetings to collect feedback on strategies to address the eight priority areas. We hosted a total of 10 meetings at our school sites. We also met with student leadership groups, School Site Councils, and with our District English Learner Advisory Committee (DELAC).

During the meetings, we broke into small groups and participants were asked to respond to a series of questions related to the state's eight priority areas and the district's ideas about how to address them. Participants were asked to give feedback on the district ideas as well as to suggest new ideas for the district to consider.

Careful notes were taken during these meetings to capture the feedback on the eight priority areas, as well as on the suggested strategies to improve conditions in our school district.

Impact on LCAP

Step 1: Inform

Having an informed community is essential to ensuring that they are able to provide relevant feedback on how the district should develop its LCAP.

Step 2: Listen

These sessions provided the district with some clear areas of identified need and suggested strategies to address those needs. We used the information gained during the listening phase to inform our goal-setting and strategy development for the LCAP.

These sessions served the additional function of providing a venue for community voice and signaled the new way that our district will be working with the community to develop our LCAPs.

In addition, to input received at the LCAP Community Outreach meetings, the district received 3,703 responses to parent/community, student and staff surveys. Data from these surveys were reviewed by the LCFF Task Force and they indicate overall support for the district initiatives as outlined in the 2014-15 LCAP.

We also asked participants to rank both the eight priority areas and their suggested strategies. Those strategies formed the basis of the actions we used for the 2014-17 LCAP.

Step 3: Draft

During this phase, we developed draft goals and strategies with our LCFF Task Force.

The role of the Task Force was to develop SMART goals to correspond with the district's strategic plan and the feedback gathered from the community. Our district strategic plan has five major areas:

- 1. Close the achievement gap while raising the achievement of all students
- 2. Ensure effective communication
- 3. Attract, support, and retain effective staff
- 4. Prepare students to become responsible citizens
- 5. Ensure fiscal stability

Small teams of 4-6 people developed up to four SMART goals to correspond with each strategic plan goal listed above.

Each group reviewed data related to the eight state priority areas and identified which data sources were relevant and most significant to focus on for their strategic plan area. After identifying needs, groups selected from the strategies (identified during the Listening Phase described above) to use as suggested actions to realize each goal. If the strategies provided did not correspond with the goal that the groups developed, they offered alternate actions for the district to employ.

District leaders then shared the draft goals and metrics in five community engagement meetings in order to gather further feedback on community priorities and needs.

Following the community engagements, district leadership reviewed the goals and strategies and aligned them with current district priorities and identified which goals and strategies to include in the LCAP.

Step 4: Refine

The district convened the LCFF Task Force for a final meeting to review the draft LCAP and to gather feedback. Following that review, the district integrated select comments and suggestions into the draft LCAP that was shared with the broader community.

The district then shared the draft LCAP with the DELAC representatives and the superintendent posted his comments on the website.

Step 3: Draft

The information developed during this phase led directly to the goals, progress indicators and actions that appear in this LCAP.

Data sources and metrics that appear in the LCAP are those that most directly relate to the goals and actions identified through the Task Force work and with the district priorities.

Step 4: Refine

This final stage of our community engagement served to refine our LCAP. The resulting plan was heavily influenced by community feedback throughout the process. Finally, the district shared the draft LCAP during the first Board meeting in June. District staff took careful notes of the comments collected and adjusted the LCAP to align with that feedback.

The final LCAP and district budget were adopted in a regular board meeting on June 24th, 2014. The agenda for that board meeting and resolution adopting the LCAP and budget were attached to the 2014-17 LCAP.

Annual Update:

In order to consult with Moreland School District stakeholders regarding progress toward our LCAP goals and in the creation of our Annual Update and our 2015-18 LCAP, we have built upon the four-step process established during the development of the 2014-17 LCAP. Our community engagement process ensures consultation with the following district stakeholders: students, school personnel, union representatives, community members and parents, including low income, foster youth and English learner representatives. In addition, we continue to work with the LCFF Task Force that was established last year which is comprised of an advocate for English Learners, Foster Youth and Students with Disabilities, teachers, staff, the presidents of our local bargaining units, principals, and district administrators.

LCFF Task Force meetings occurred on the following dates:

November 12, 2014 January 28, 2015 March 18, 2015 May 13, 2015

Step 1: Inform

We initiated this process by reconvening the district LCFF Task Force, comprised of teachers, staff, the presidents of our local bargaining units, principals and district administration. The Task Force provided commentary and suggestions on the community engagement process that we planned to use to gather feedback during the development of the 2015-18 LCAP and to consult with our stakeholders. These LCFF Task Force meetings were held on November 12, 2014 and January 28, 2015.

District leadership hosted nine informational sessions on LCFF/LCAP at school

Annual Update:

Step 1: Inform

Having an informed community is essential to ensuring that they are able to provide relevant feedback on how the district should adjust our LCAP, if necessary, based on our progress data.

sites across the district. Meeting times were posted on the district website and published in school newsletters. The goals of these sessions were: 1) to inform/remind stakeholders about LCFF, our LCAP goals and their impact on our school district; and 2) to share information/data regarding progress toward our LCAP goals.

All stakeholders including community members, parents, students, school personnel and union representatives were invited to attend these meetings. We met with student leadership groups, School Site Councils, Home and School Clubs, CBET, district staff, union representatives and with our District English Learner Advisory Committee (DELAC). LCAP Community Outreach meeting dates and locations are shown below:

Date	Location
3/9/15	Baker Elementary
3/10/15	Latimer Elementary
3/11/15	Anderson Elementary
3/11/15	Moreland Middle
3/12/15	Country Lane Elementary
3/16/15	Easterbrook Discovery Elementary
3/17/15	Easterbrook Discovery Elementary
3/19/2015	Moreland Middle
3/19/2015	Payne Elementary

In addition to hosting the informational sessions, our LCAP Parent Newsletters and the LCAP PowerPoint presentation used during the Community engagement meetings were posted on our district website. We also shared portions of the information contained within the LCAP PowerPoint in all subsequent meetings to ensure that meeting attendees continued to have a common baseline level of information.

Step2: Listen

During the meetings, participants were provided with data related to district progress toward meeting our LCAP goals including the effectiveness of specific actions and the possible need for adjustments to specific goals or actions. Participants broke into small groups and were asked to respond to a series of questions, to give feedback related to district ideas regarding adjustments to goals, actions and services, and to suggest new ideas for the district to consider.

Careful notes were taken during these meetings to capture both the feedback on the suggested adjustments to goals and the strategies/actions to improve conditions in our school district. Input that was gathered at meetings was taken into consideration as the annual update of the LCAP was developed.

Additional district and site input/data were gathered through parent/community, student (4th - 8th grades) and staff surveys.

Step 3: Draft

During this phase, we worked with our LCFF Task Force to review current LCAP goals and district progress data, and to develop draft adjustments to our goals and strategies. This LCFF Task Force meeting was held on March 18, 2015.

The role of the Task Force was to review progress data related to our LCAP SMART goals, which correspond with the district's strategic plan, and the feedback gathered from the community.

Small teams of 4-6 people reviewed the progress data toward our LCAP goals.

Each group identified any goal where progress indicated a need to adjust either the goal and/or the strategies. After identifying needs, the groups suggested adjustments to goals and strategies to realize the goal.

Following the community engagement and LCAP Task Force meetings, district leadership reviewed the recommended adjustments to goals and/or strategies, aligned them with current district priorities and identified which goals and strategies to adjust in the LCAP.

Step 4: Refine

The district convened the LCFF Task Force on May 13, 2015 for a final meeting to review the draft 2015-18 LCAP and to gather feedback. Following that review, select comments and suggestions were integrated into the draft

Step 2: Listen

During the development of this LCAP, we used the information gained from stakeholder meetings during the listening phase to inform the adjustment of our goals and strategies, as needed.

These sessions served the additional function of providing a venue for community voice and reinforcing the way that our district will continue to work with the community as we develop our future LCAPs.

In addition to input from stakeholder meetings, the district gathered input/data from over 1,300 parent/community responses, 2,118 responses from students in grades 4-8 grades and 285 responses from staff members through the surveys that were completed.

The district and site survey data were reviewed by the LCFF Task Force at the March, 2015 meeting.

Overall, the responses from students were positive about their school experiences.

Data indicate that LCAP initiatives and technology are being implemented or used as planned. These are areas in the 2014-15 LCAP that will remain in the 2015-18 LCAP.

Data revealed a few areas of need including better options for electives, increased classroom support and use of technology. These areas will remain in the LCAP and continue to be addressed in 2015-16 and future years.

Step 3: Draft

The information developed during this phase led directly to the adjustment, as needed, of goals, strategies and actions that appear in this LCAP.

Data sources and metrics that appear in the LCAP continue to be those that most directly relate to the district priorities and the goals and actions identified through the Task Force work.

Step 4: Refine

This final stage of our community engagement served to refine our LCAP. The resulting plan was heavily influenced by community feedback throughout the process.

LCAP that was shared with the broader community.
The draft LCAP was shared with the DELAC on May 28, 2015 and the superintendent posted his response to public comments and questions related to the LCAP on the district website.
Finally, the district shared the draft LCAP during the first Board meeting in June. District staff took careful notes of the comments collected and adjusted the LCAP to align with that feedback.
The final LCAP and district budget were adopted in a regular board meeting on June 23, 2015. The agenda for that board meeting and resolution adopting the LCAP and budget are attached.

Section 2: Goals, Actions, Expenditures, and Progress Indicators

Instructions:

All LEAs must complete the LCAP and Annual Update Template each year. The LCAP is a three-year plan for the upcoming school year and the two years that follow. In this way, the program and goals contained in the LCAP align with the term of a school district and county office of education budget and multiyear budget projections. The Annual Update section of the template reviews progress made for each stated goal in the school year that is coming to a close, assesses the effectiveness of actions and services provided, and describes the changes made in the LCAP for the next three years that are based on this review and assessment.

Charter schools may adjust the table below to align with the term of the charter school's budget that is submitted to the school's authorizer pursuant to Education Code section 47604.33.

For school districts, Education Code sections 52060 and 52061, for county offices of education, Education Code sections 52066 and 52067, and for charter schools, Education Code section 47606.5 require(s) the LCAP to include a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils, to be achieved for each state priority as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i) and any local priorities; a description of the specific actions an LEA will take to meet the identified goals; a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific actions; and an annual update to include a review of progress towards the goals and describe any changes to the goals.

To facilitate alignment between the LCAP and school plans, the LCAP shall identify and incorporate school-specific goals related to the state and local priorities from the school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. Furthermore, the LCAP should be shared with, and input requested from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, pupil advisory groups, etc.) to facilitate alignment between school-site and district-level goals and actions. An LEA may incorporate or reference actions described in other plans that are being undertaken to meet the goal.

Using the following instructions and guiding questions, complete a goal table (see below) for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Goal: Describe the goal:

When completing the goal tables, include goals for all pupils and specific goals for schoolsites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and, where applicable, at the schoolsite level. The LEA may identify which schoolsites and subgroups have the same goals, and group and describe those goals together. The LEA may also indicate those goals that are not applicable to a specific subgroup or schoolsite.

Related State and/or Local Priorities: Identify the state and/or local priorities addressed by the goal by placing a check mark next to the applicable priority or priorities. The LCAP must include goals that address each of the state priorities, as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i), and any additional local priorities; however, one goal may address multiple priorities.

Identified Need: Describe the need(s) identified by the LEA that this goal addresses, including a description of the supporting data used to identify the need(s).

Schools: Identify the schoolsites to which the goal applies. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5).

Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Identify the pupil subgroups as defined in Education Code section 52052 to which the goal applies, or indicate "all" for all pupils.

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: For each LCAP year, identify and describe specific expected measurable outcomes for all pupils using, at minimum, the applicable required metrics for the related state priorities. Where applicable, include descriptions of specific expected measurable outcomes for schoolsites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and at the schoolsite level.

The metrics used to describe the expected measurable outcomes may be quantitative or qualitative, although the goal tables must address all required metrics for every state priority in each LCAP year. The required metrics are the specified measures and objectives for each state priority as set forth in Education Code sections 52060(d) and 52066(d). For the pupil engagement priority metrics, LEAs must calculate the rates specified in Education Code sections 52060(d)(5)(B), (C), (D) and (E) as described in the Local Control Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template Appendix, sections (a) through (d).

Actions/Services: For each LCAP year, identify all annual actions to be performed and services provided to meet the described goal. Actions may describe a group of services that are implemented to achieve the identified goal.

Scope of Service: Describe the scope of each action/service by identifying the schoolsites covered. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5). If supplemental and concentration funds are used to support the action/service, the LEA must identify if the scope of service is districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide.

Pupils to be served within identified scope of service: For each action/service, identify the pupils to be served within the identified scope of service. If the action to be performed or the service to be provided is for all pupils, place a check mark next to "ALL."

For each action and/or service to be provided above what is being provided for all pupils, place a check mark next to the applicable unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) and/or other pupil subgroup(s) that will benefit from the additional action, and/or will receive the additional service. Identify, as applicable, additional actions and services for unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) as defined in Education Code section 42238.01, pupils redesignated fluent English proficient, and/or pupils subgroup(s) as defined in Education Code section 52052.

Budgeted Expenditures: For each action/service, list and describe budgeted expenditures for each school year to implement these actions, including where those expenditures can be found in the LEA's budget. The LEA must reference all fund sources for each proposed expenditure. Expenditures must be classified using the California School Accounting Manual as required by Education Code sections 52061, 52067, and 47606.5.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Conditions of Learning"?
- 2) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Pupil Outcomes"?
- 3) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to parent and pupil "Engagement" (e.g., parent involvement, pupil

- engagement, and school climate)?
- 4) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address any locally-identified priorities?
- 5) How have the unique needs of individual schoolsites been evaluated to inform the development of meaningful district and/or individual schoolsite goals (e.g., input from site level advisory groups, staff, parents, community, pupils; review of school level plans; in-depth school level data analysis, etc.)?
- 6) What are the unique goals for unduplicated pupils as defined in Education Code sections 42238.01 and subgroups as defined in section 52052 that are different from the LEA's goals for all pupils?
- 7) What are the specific expected measurable outcomes associated with each of the goals annually and over the term of the LCAP?
- 8) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was considered/reviewed to develop goals to address each state or local priority?
- 9) What information was considered/reviewed for individual schoolsites?
- 10) What information was considered/reviewed for subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052?
- 11) What actions/services will be provided to all pupils, to subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, to specific schoolsites, to English learners, to low-income pupils, and/or to foster youth to achieve goals identified in the LCAP?
- 12) How do these actions/services link to identified goals and expected measurable outcomes?
- 13) What expenditures support changes to actions/services as a result of the goal identified? Where can these expenditures be found in the LEA's budget?

					Related State and/or	Local Priorities:
GOAL: Goal Area 1A: Close the achievement gap while raising			g the achieveme	ent of all students - All students will	1 <u>//</u> 2 <u>//</u> 3 4 <u>//</u> 5	_ 67 <u>~</u> 8 <u>~</u>
GOAL.	receive challenging, rigorous, and appropriate instruct		ction to achieve	academic success.	COE only: 9_	_ 10
					Local : Specify	
Identified	Need :	development standards, as measured by c	district identified	tate standards, including the Common Core s multiple measures, teacher survey and distr dent learning as measured by teacher surve	ict level summary of instruct	tional program and
Goal Ap	plies to:	Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Al	 I			
	<u>:</u>	Applicable Fupil Subgroups. Al		'ear 1 : 2015-16		
-	ed Annual le Outcomes:	ability to teach the CCSS/ELD standar following: Evidence of targeted supp (professional development schedules) The district-wide use of new CCSS-ali establishment of baseline student pe A District-level summary of the instruence of targeted support provide are dedicated to technology integrated. Increased evidence that teachers are student performance on statewide a The district will provide sufficient textbook California Ed. Code Section 60119 required Metric: Statewide assessments; Academ benchmark/formative assessments; tealimprovements; ratio of students to communications.	rds and to integrated to the sysign-in sheet, in igned benchmark erformance on neuctional program of CCSS where 90% and to teachers in ion). In the transformates and instruct rements. Inic Performance acher survey(s) of puters; Williams TK-8. Metrics for etion are not apprend to the system of the puters of	and the interventions at each site that are a for of teachers respond that they feel prepared technology (staff meeting agendas/sign-in substantion phase of technology use (responses to Academic Performance Index (API). ional materials for students, maintaining 100 lindex (API); professional development scheduler transition to CCSS and technology use; distincertification. high school, such as advanced placement page	hese outcomes will be meas ish Language Development Strough observations). It is the district for each grade of the district for each grade of the teach the CCSS. It is the district technology-use of the district tech	ured using the Standards level and the s at each site that perception survey). Settlement and performance on ctional program and G programs and
		Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identif	ied scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
_	•	ss size ratios of 24:1 at elementary and	LEA-wide	<u>✓</u> ALL		Est.Cost \$599,381 Funding Source(s):
30:1 at mi	ddle school lev	vels.	student	OR:		Parcel Tax: \$496,068
			access to	Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners		Sup. Grant: \$103,313
			textbooks.	Foster YouthRedesignated fluent Er	nglish proficient	Costs from previous
				Other Subgroups:(Specify)		vears continue

Maintain the two Special Education classes, increased speech, resource and psychology services that were added in 2014-15 and add one additional special day class in 2015-16.	LEA-wide	ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient ✓ Other Subgroups:(Specify) <u>Students with Disabilities</u>	Est.Cost: \$774,942 Funding Source(s): SPED: \$774,942 Costs from previous years continue
Continue to provide teachers with PD related to the new CCSS-aligned curriculum, new interventions, and identified assessments. Cost: Providing ongoing PD - \$30K per site.	LEA-wide	✓ ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$394,281 Funding Source(s): Title I: \$150,593 Title II: \$35,683 Parcel Tax: \$75,345 Base: \$24,322 Base/One-time: \$108,338
Provide ongoing /new professional development for all staff to incorporate ELD standards-based intervention during the school day, which is focused on areas of identified student need based on data analyses.	LEA-wide	ALL OR:Low Income pupils ✓ English LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$69,146 Funding Source(s): Sup. Grant:\$58,146 Title III:\$11,000
Ensure that technology is used to enhance student learning through the integration of technology utilizing the SAMR rubric (Substitution, Augmentation, Modification, and Redefinition). Identify and provide support to teachers on specific areas of technology use in the classroom.	LEA-wide	✓ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$74,297 Funding Source(s): Sup. Grant: \$59,500 Parcel Tax: \$14,797
Provide instructional coaching support (one instructional coach per site and one at the district level) to assist schools in meeting the identified needs of students in identified subgroups including English learners, low income students, foster youth, students with disabilities, etc. Instructional coaching support will include data analysis, curriculum mapping, demonstration lessons, instructional support to teachers, etc.	LEA-wide	ALL OR:	Est.Cost: \$801,730 Funding Source(s): Sup. Grant: \$801,730
Monitor the effectiveness of added programs and services. Assess whether students, especially identified subgroups, are receiving the most effective instructional support based on their identified needs.	LEA-wide	✓ ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$0 Funding Source(s): Base: 0

LCAP Year 2: 2016-17

Student access to a broad course of study will be increased, they will be prepared for 21st century learning and their academic achievement will be improved as teachers continue to develop their ability to teach the CCSS and students are able to use computers and other technology as a part of the school day.

These outcomes will be measured using the following:

- Evidence of targeted support provided to teachers in technology (staff meeting agendas/sign-in sheets of three staff meetings at each site that are dedicated to technology integration).
- Increased evidence that teachers are in the transformation phase of technology use (responses to the district technology use perception survey).
- 3:1 ratio of students to computers at school sites and 1:1 access to computers for all district staff.
- Evidence of continuing targeted support related to the implementation of the CCSS and State English Language Development Standards (professional development schedules/sign-in sheet, instructional coaching schedules and walk through observation evidence).
- Student performance on benchmarks and formative assessments showing improvement in target areas.
- Student performance on statewide assessments and Academic Performance Index (API).

The district will provide sufficient textbooks and instructional materials for students, maintaining 100% compliance with Williams Settlement and California Ed. Code Section 60119 requirements.

<u>Metric</u>: Statewide assessments; Academic Performance Index (API); professional development schedules/sign-in sheets; walk through observation evidence; technology use perception survey; Ratio of students to computers; performance on benchmark/formative assessments; Williams Certification.

Moreland School District serves grades TK-8. Metrics for high school, such as advanced placement passage rate, completion of A-G programs and Career Technical Education (CTE) completion are not applicable.

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Maintain grade-span class size ratios of 24:1 at elementary and 30:1 at middle school levels.	LEA-wide	✓ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost \$599,381 Funding Source(s): Parcel Tax: \$496,068 Sup. Grant: \$103,313 Costs continue from previous years
Maintain the three Special Education classes, increased speech, resource and psychology services that were added in 2014-15 and the additional special day class added in 2015-16.	LEA-wide	ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient ✓ Other Subgroups:(Specify) Students with Disabilities	Est.Cost: \$774,942 Funding Source(s): SPED: \$774,942 Costs continue from previous years

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:

Continue to provide teachers with PD related to the new CCSS-aligned curriculum, new interventions, and identified assessments. Cost: Providing ongoing PD - \$30K per site.	LEA-wide	✓ ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$394,281 Funding Source(s): Title I: \$150,593 Title II: \$35,683 Parcel Tax: \$75,345 Base: \$24,322 Base/One-time: \$108,338
Provide ongoing /new professional development for all staff to incorporate ELD standards-based intervention, during the school day, which is focused on areas of identified student need based on data analyses.	LEA-wide	ALL OR:Low Income pupils ✓English LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$69,146 Funding Source(s): Sup. Grant:\$58,146 Title III:\$11,000
Provide instructional coaching support (one instructional coach per site and one at the district level) to assist schools in meeting the identified needs of students in identified subgroups including English learners, low income students, foster youth, students with disabilities, etc. Instructional coaching support will include data analysis, curriculum mapping, demonstration lessons, instructional support to teachers, etc.	LEA-wide	ALL OR: ✓Low Income pupils ✓English Learners ✓Foster Youth ✓Redesignated fluent English proficient ✓Other Subgroups:(Specify) Hispanic & Students with Disabilities	Est.Cost: \$801,730 Funding Source(s): Sup. Grant: \$801,730
Ensure that technology is used to enhance student learning through the integration of technology utilizing the SAMR rubric (Substitution, Augmentation, Modification, and Redefinition). Identify and provide support to teachers on specific areas of technology use in the classroom.	LEA-wide	✓ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$74,297 Funding Source(s): Sup. Grant: \$59,500 Parcel Tax: \$14,797
Provide adequate technology for all staff/students and provide IT support to maintain equipment at each site. Cost: TBD after assessment of needs.	LEA-wide	✓ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: TBD

Final Draft 6.23.15 Page 19 of 68

Provide after school classes incorporating the use of technology for students and parents. Classes will begin at one school site and will serve up to 20 students and 10 parents per class. Based on class interest and survey results, additional classes may be added at additional sites.	LEA-wide	✓ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$2,000 Funding Source(s): Base: \$2,000
Continue to monitor effectiveness of added programs and services. Continue to assess whether students, especially identified subgroups, are receiving the most effective instructional support based on their identified needs.	LEA-wide	✓ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$0 Funding Source(s): Base: 0
Survey teachers every two years on their level of comfort and needed support in order to integrate technology in classrooms.	LEA-wide	✓ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$0 Funding Source(s): Base: 0

LCAP Year 3: 2017-18

Student access to a broad course of study will be increased, they will be prepared for 21st century learning and their academic achievement will be improved as teachers continue to develop their ability to teach the CCSS and students are able to use computers and other technology as a part of the school day.

These outcomes will be measured using the following:

- Evidence of targeted support provided to teachers in technology (staff meeting agendas/sign-in sheets of three staff meetings at each site that are dedicated to technology integration).
- Increased evidence that teachers are in the transformation phase of technology use (responses to the district technology use perception survey).
- 3:1 ratio of students to computers at school sites and 1:1 access to computers for all district staff.
- Evidence of continuing targeted support related to the implementation of the CCSS and State English Language Development Standards (professional development schedules/sign-in sheet, instructional coaching schedules and walk through observation evidence).
- Student performance on benchmarks and formative assessments showing improvement in target areas.
- Student performance on statewide assessments and Academic Performance Index (API).

The district will provide sufficient textbooks and instructional materials for students, maintaining 100% compliance with Williams Settlement and California Ed. Code Section 60119 requirements.

<u>Metric</u>: Statewide assessments; Academic Performance Index (API); professional development schedules/sign-in sheets; walk through observation evidence; technology use perception survey; Ratio of students to computers; performance on benchmark/formative assessments; Williams Certification

Moreland School District serves grades TK-8. Metrics for high school, such as advanced placement passage rate, completion of A-G programs and Career Technical Education (CTE) completion are not applicable.

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Maintain grade-span class size ratios of 24:1 at elementary and 30:1 at middle school levels.	LEA-wide	✓ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost \$599,381 Funding Source(s): Parcel Tax: \$496,068 Sup. Grant: \$103,313 Costs continue from previous years
Maintain the three Special Education classes, increased speech, resource and psychology services that were added in 2014-15 and the additional special day class added in 2015-16.	LEA-wide	ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient ✓ Other Subgroups:(Specify) Students with Disabilities	Est.Cost: \$774,942 Funding Source(s): SPED: \$774,942 Costs continue from previous years

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:

Final Draft 6.23.15 Page 21 of 68

Continue to provide teachers with PD related to the new CCSS-aligned curriculum, new interventions, and identified assessments. Cost: Providing ongoing PD - \$30K per site.	LEA-wide	✓ ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$394,281 Funding Source(s): Title I: \$150,593 Title II: \$35,683 Parcel Tax: \$75,345 Base: \$24,322
Provide ongoing /new professional development for all staff to incorporate ELD standards-based intervention, during the school day, which is focused on areas of identified student need based on data analyses.	LEA-wide	ALL OR:Low Income pupils ✓English LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$69,146 Funding Source(s): Sup. Grant:\$58,146 Title III:\$11,000
Provide instructional coaching support (one instructional coach per site and one at the district level) to assist schools in meeting the identified needs of students in identified subgroups including English learners, low income students, foster youth, students with disabilities, etc. Instructional coaching support will include data analysis, curriculum mapping, demonstration lessons, instructional support to teachers, etc.	LEA-wide	ALL OR:	Est.Cost: \$801,730 Funding Source(s): Sup. Grant: \$801,730
Ensure that technology is used to enhance student learning through the integration of technology utilizing the SAMR rubric (Substitution, Augmentation, Modification, and Redefinition). Identify and provide support to teachers on specific areas of technology use in the classroom.	LEA-wide	✓ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$74,297 Funding Source(s): Sup. Grant: \$59,500 Parcel Tax: \$14,797
Provide adequate technology for all staff/students and provide IT support to maintain equipment at each site.	LEA-wide	OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost:TBD Funding Source(s): Tech. Endowment:

for students and paren	asses incorporating the use of technology ts. Classes will begin at one school site and lents and 10 parents per class. Based on	LEA-wide	<u>✓</u> ALL	Est.Cost: \$2,000 Funding Source(s): Base: \$2,000
	ey results, additional classes may be added		OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	
services. Continue to assess who	ether students, especially identified and the most effective instructional support ed needs.	LEA-wide	✓ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$0 Funding Source(s): Base: 0
GOAL: implemen	1B: Close the achievement gap while raising t district-wide intervention strategies and p n targeted subgroups who are underperform	rograms in math	nt of all students - Continuously and ELA/ELD to meet the needs of	e and/or Local Priorities: _4 <u>\blue{V}5\blue{V}6\blue{V}7\blue{V}8\blue{V}</u>
Identified Need :	and programs in math and ELA/ELD as mea to transition English Learners who are perf	sured by evidend orming at the Int	specially those that are performing below grade level. District ce of district intervention strategies and programs in math and ermediate level on the California English Language Development ation rate, district study on student achievement, student perf	ELA/ELD. Focused support ent Test (CELDT) to the Early
Goal Applies to:	Schools: All	u Incomo English	a Learners Foster Vouth Hispania av Latina Students with Dis	
	Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Lov		n Learners, Foster Youth, Hispanic or Latino, Students with Disc	ability
		LCAP Y	ear 1: 2015-16	

Expected Annual
Measurable Outcomes:

Student access to a broad course of study will be increased and their academic achievement will be improved when the district has a clear understanding of the areas of needed support and program development to address the achievement gap and when students at all sites who are performing below grade level have access to interventions. These outcomes will be measured using the following:

- The establishment of new baselines for student achievement on standards-aligned metrics for future monitoring of growth.
- CELDT data analyses.
- AMAO's for English Learners including the EL reclassification rate, the progress of ELs toward English proficiency (CELDT) and the achievement of ELs on state ELA and Math assessments.
- Student performance on benchmarks and formative assessments.
- Assessment results showing that the achievement levels of students participating in the summer bridge intervention program are maintained between June and September.
- The implementation of targeted intervention approaches for subgroups at all sites.
- A 10% decrease in the percentage of students who are below grade level by the end of the year.

<u>Metric</u>: EL's Progress toward English proficiency as measured by the CELDT and EL reclassification rate (AMAO's), pre/post assessments for intervention students, middle school drop-out rate.

Moreland School District serves grades TK-8. Metrics for high school, such as advanced placement passage rate, completion of A-G programs and Career Technical Education (CTE) completion, high school drop-out rate and high school graduation rate are not applicable.

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Continue to employ two part-time certificated and three part-time classified staff dedicated to providing interventions during the school day across all sites. Ensure that all sites offer students two 8-10 week after school intensive remediation and acceleration sessions with up to 2 certificated and 3 classified support personnel.	LEA-wide	ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify) Hispanic and Students with Disabilities	Est.Cost: \$449,664 Funding Source(s): Sup. Grant: \$449,664
Student academic progress data, including CELDT data, will be analyzed to identify students who are not making expected progress. These students will be selected to participate in a 19-day summer bridge program that provides focused academic support.	LEA-wide	ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify) Hispanic and Students with Disabilities	Est.Cost: \$72,133 Funding Source(s): Sup. Grant:\$72,133
Identified improvements will be integrated into the overall district instructional and intervention plan.	LEA-wide	ALL OR: <u>✓</u> Low Income pupils <u>✓</u> English Learners <u>✓</u> Foster Youth <u>✓</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient <u>✓</u> Other Subgroups:(Specify) <u>Hispanic and Students with Disabilities</u>	Est.Cost: \$0 Funding Source(s):
	LCAP Y	/ear 2: 2016-17	

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:

Student access to a broad course of study will be increased and their academic achievement will be improved when all sites provide access to interventions for students performing below grade level.

The district will develop a list of exemplary practices based on student performance results that promote academic achievement and will identify sites where these practices may be observed.

<u>Metric</u>: EL's Progress toward English proficiency as measured by the CELDT and EL reclassification rate (AMAO's), pre/post assessments for intervention students, list of exemplary practices and identified sites where practices may be observed, middle school drop-out rate.

Moreland School District serves grades TK-8. Metrics for high school, such as advanced placement passage rate, completion of A-G programs and Career Technical Education (CTE) completion, high school drop-out rate and high school graduation rate are not applicable

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Continue to employ two part-time certificated and three part-time classified staff dedicated to providing interventions during the school day across all sites. Ensure that all sites offer students two 8-10 week after school intensive remediation and acceleration sessions with up to 2 certificated and 3 classified support personnel.	LEA-wide	ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify) Hispanic & Students with Disabilities	Est.Cost: \$449,664 Funding Source(s): Sup. Grant: \$449,664
Student academic progress data, including CELDT data, will be analyzed to identify students who are not making expected progress. These students will be selected to participate in a 19-day summer bridge program that provides focused academic support.	LEA-wide	ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify) Hispanic & Students with Disabilities	Est.Cost: \$72,133 Funding Source(s): Sup. Grant:\$72,133
Refine and continue to monitor the plan, highlight bright spots and spread best practices throughout the district. Ensure that a focus on follow through is maintained.	LEA-wide	✓Other Subgroups:(Specify) <u>Hispanic & Students with Disabilities</u>	

			LCAP Y	ear 3 : 2017-18		
Expected Measurable		interventions for students performing The district will develop a list of exem where these practices may be observ Metric: EL's progress toward English intervention students, middle school Moreland School District serves grade	g below grade level. Inplary practices base ed. proficiency as mease drop-out rate. es TK-8. Metrics for Inpletion, high schoo	ed and their academic achievement will be imed on student performance results that promured by the CELDT and EL reclassification rate high school, such as advanced placement pass I drop-out rate and high school graduation rate	ote academic achievement (AMAO's), pre/post assessing sage rate, completion of A-O	and identify sites ments for G programs and
		Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified	d scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
time classified the school do Ensure that a intensive ren	ed staff ded lay across al all sites offe mediation a	part-time certificated and three part- cated to providing interventions during sites. r students two 8-10 week after school acceleration sessions with up to 2 ied support personnel.	LEA-wide	ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent EnglisOther Subgroups:(Specify) Hispanic & Students	-	Est.Cost: \$449,664 Funding Source(s): Sup. Grant: \$449,664
analyzed to i progress. Th	identify studen	ess data, including CELDT data, will be dents who are not making expected ts will be selected to participate in a 19- gram that provides focused academic	LEA-wide	ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent EnglisOther Subgroups:(Specify) Hispanic & Stud	-	Est.Cost: \$72,133 Funding Source(s): Sup. Grant:\$72,133
and spread b	best practice	monitor the plan, highlight bright spots es throughout the district. Dllow through is maintained.	LEA-wide	ALL OR: <u>✓</u> Low Income pupils <u>✓</u> English Learners <u>✓</u> Foster Youth <u>✓</u> Redesignated fluent Engl <u>✓</u> Other Subgroups:(Specify) <u>Hispanic and S</u>	-	Est.Cost: \$0 Funding Source(s):
GOAL:		C: Close the achievement gap while rai meaningful, relevant professional deve		attract, support, and retain exemplary	Related State and/or Lot 1 2 🛩 3 4 🛩 5 COE only: 9 Local : Specify	6 7 <u>•</u> ⁄ 8
Identified Ne	eed :		•	nent system for teachers and staff as measure on benchmark assessments, CELDT and incre		elopment scope
Goal App	lies to:	Schools: All				
Courtpp	50 (0)	Applicable Pupil Subgroups:	All			

LCAP Year 1: 2015-16

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:

Student academic experiences will be improved when the staff and teachers who work with them participate in annual professional development that builds on prior knowledge and relevant work experiences. This will be demonstrated by a multi-year professional development schedule.

<u>Metric</u>: CELDT data, EL reclassification rate, benchmark assessments, staff survey, district professional development scope and sequence offerings, staff participation sign-in sheets.

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Ensure that PD system continues to develop staff expertise by	LEA-wide	<u>✓</u> ALL	Est.Cost: \$324,923 Funding Source(s):
building on the professional development provided in the previous year(s). Ensure that a continuity of academic approaches is maintained over time. Ensure that staff members have adequate instructional materials to support PD system.		OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Title I:\$42,758 Title II:\$56,276 Base: \$163,061 Sup. Grant:\$462,159

LCAP Year 2: 2016-17

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:

Student academic achievement will be improved when professional development of staff is designed with student needs in mind. This will be demonstrated by changes in the PD scope and sequence informed by student achievement results. It will also be demonstrated by 90% positive responses on staff PD survey.

<u>Metric</u>: CELDT data, EL reclassification rate, benchmark assessments, staff survey, district professional development scope and sequence offerings, staff participation sign-in sheets.

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Ensure that the needs of students drive the PD provided to staff by instituting an annual review of student achievement and adjust PD to reflect areas of student need. Ensure that the areas of needed teacher development (as identified by teacher survey, administrator feedback and student performance) are reflected in the PD provided to teachers. Ensure that staff members have adequate instructional materials to support PD system.		✓ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$324,923 Funding Source(s): Title I:\$42,758 Title II:\$56,276 Base: \$163,061 Sup. Grant:\$462,159

LCAP Year 3: 2017-18

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:

Student academic achievement will be improved when professional development of staff is designed with student needs in mind. This will be demonstrated by changes in the PD scope and sequence informed by student achievement results. It will also be demonstrated by 90% positive responses on staff PD survey.

<u>Metric</u>: CELDT data, EL reclassification rate, benchmark assessments, staff survey, district professional development scope and sequence offerings, staff participation sign-in sheets.

	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures		
Ensure that the needs of students drive the PD provided to staff by instituting an annual review of student achievement and adjust PD to reflect areas of student need. Ensure that the areas of needed teacher development (as identified by teacher survey, administrator feedback and student performance) are reflected in the PD provided to teachers. Ensure that staff members have adequate instructional materials to support PD system.		LEA-wide	✓ ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$324,923 Funding Source(s): Title I:\$42,758 Title II:\$56,276 Base: \$163,061 Sup. Grant:\$462,159		
GOAL: Goal Area 2: Ensure effective communication - Maintain and implement a clearly articulated communication plan, that includes continued effective communication with staff, students, parents and the community and a process for the dissemination of information related to the transition to Common Core, LCAP updates, and the district safety plan, etc. Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 COE only: 9 10 Local: Specify						
Identified Need :	<u>Identified Need</u> : Maintain effective comm	unication with dis	strict staff, students, parents and community members.			
Goal Applies to:	Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All					
LCAP Year 1 : 2015-16						

Final Draft 6.23.15 Page 28 of 68

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Provide English as a Second Language classes for parents at up to 4 sites.	LEA-wide	ALL OR:Low Income pupils ✓English LearnersFoster Youth ✓Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$54,427 Funding Source(s): Sup. Grant:\$54,427
Continue to utilize community liaisons/translators to bridge the home/school gap. Provide community liaison services to the four sites with 15% or more of their student population that speaks the same primary language, other than English.	LEA-wide	ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)Hispanic and Students with Disabilities	Est.Cost: \$193,742 Funding Source(s): Sup. Grant: \$193,742
Adjust communication plan based on feedback gathered from parent and staff perception surveys.	LEA-wide	✓ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$0 Funding Source(s): Supp/Conc:\$0 Base: 0
Develop a list of support services available from within the community and provide this information to parents and teachers. Provide guidance to teachers on how to use the list of support services.	LEA-wide	✓ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$0 Funding Source(s): Supp/Conc:\$0 Base: 0
Develop a network of parents/staff to make personal calls/connections with all parents.	LEA-wide	✓ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$0 Funding Source(s): Supplement: 0

LCAP Year 2: 2016-17

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:

Students will experience greater support from parents when they are aware of school-based activities. This will be evidenced by ongoing maintenance of, and adjustments made to, the district communication plan. The district will also maintain an attendance rate of 97% or greater and maintain a chronic absence rate below .5% district-wide.

<u>Metric</u>: District communication plan and district self-evaluation of the communication plan that may be based on the biennial staff survey results, documentation of parent engagement events, list of community-based support services, SARB referral rate, attendance rates, chronic absenteeism rate, suspension rate, expulsion rate, middle school drop-out rate.

Moreland School District serves grades TK-8. Metrics for high school, such as advanced placement passage rate, completion of A-G programs and CareerTechnical Education (CTE) completion, high school drop-out rate and high school graduation rate are not applicable.

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Provide English as a Second Language classes for parents at up to 4 sites.	LEA-wide	ALL OR:Low Income pupils ✓ English LearnersFoster Youth ✓ Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$54,427 Funding Source(s): Sup. Grant:\$54,427
Continue to utilize community liaisons/translators to bridge the home/school gap. Provide community liaison services to the four sites with 15% or more of their student population that speaks the same primary language, other than English.	LEA-wide	ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify) _Hispanic and Students with Disabilities	Est.Cost: \$193,742 Funding Source(s): - Sup. Grant: \$193,742
Conduct biennial parent and staff perception surveys. Continue to monitor and adjust the plan based on feedback provided in survey responses.	LEA-wide	✓ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$12,000 Funding Source(s): Base: \$12,000
Reassess strategies to see if new systems have been successful and make appropriate changes based on reassessment.	LEA-wide	ALL OR:Low Income pupils <u>✓</u> English LearnersFoster Youth <u>✓</u> Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$0 Funding Source(s): Supplement: 0

LCAP Year 3: 2017-18

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:

Students will experience greater support from parents when they are aware of school-based activities. This will be evidenced by ongoing maintenance of, and adjustments made to, the district communication plan. The district will also maintain an attendance rate of 97% or greater and maintain a chronic absence rate below .5% district-wide.

<u>Metric</u>: District communication plan and district self-evaluation of the communication plan that may be based on the biennial staff survey results, documentation of parent engagement events, list of community-based support services, SARB referral rate, attendance rates, chronic absenteeism rate, suspension rate, expulsion rate, middle school drop-out rate.

Moreland School District serves grades TK-8. Metrics for high school, such as advanced placement passage rate, completion of A-G programs and Career Technical Education (CTE) completion, high school drop-out rate and high school graduation rate are not applicable.

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Provide English as a Second Language classes for parents at up to 4 sites.		ALL OR:Low Income pupils ✓ English LearnersFoster Youth ✓ Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$54,427 Funding Source(s): Sup. Grant:\$54,427
Continue to utilize community liaisons/translators to bridge the home/school gap. Provide community liaison services to the four sites with 15% or more of their student population that speaks the same primary language, other than English.	LEA-wide	ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify) _Hispanic and Students with Disabilities	Est.Cost: \$193,742 Funding Source(s): Sup. Grant: \$193,742
Continue to monitor and adjust the plan based on feedback provided in parent and staff perception survey responses.	LEA-wide	✓ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$0 - Funding Source(s):
Reassess strategies to see if new systems have been successful and make appropriate changes based on reassessment.	LEA-wide	ALL OR: <u>V</u> Low Income pupils <u>V</u> English Learners <u>V</u> Foster Youth <u>V</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient <u>V</u> Other Subgroups:(Specify) <u>Hispanic and Students with Disabilities</u>	Est.Cost: \$0 - Funding Source(s): Supplement: 0

GOAL:	Goal Area 3: staff.	Attract, support, and retain exemplary st	aff - Foster a dis	trict-wide culture that values and honors	Related State and/or L 1 2 3 4 5 COE only: 9 Local: Specify	6 <u>v</u> 7_8_ 10
Identified N		Identified Need: Staff recognition and suppattendance in district PD, enrollment policy		I by staff satisfaction on the biennial climate assignment.		
Goal Ap	nliec to:	Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All				
)	Applicable Pupil Subgroups.		ear 1: 2015-16		
•	ed Annual e Outcomes:	trainings as well as other district informato increase of resources and compensati The district will maintain a rate of 100% and Education Act (ESEA), Williams and Californ Metric: Biennial climate survey complete	ation. This will be on for the staff's appropriate teac ornia Ed. Code Se ed by certificated asuring that 100	her assignment and credentialing, thereby ection 44258.9 compliance requirements. I and classified staff (80% overall staff satisf percent Highly Qualified Teacher (HQT) stat	ified staff at meetings and tr meeting the Elementary and faction rating on the biennial	sessions due Secondary climate survey);
		Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identifi	ed scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
appropriate	ely assigned a	alified staff, ensuring that all teachers are and fully credentialed in the subject areas they are teaching.		✓ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent EnOther Subgroups:(Specify)		Est.Cost: \$0 Funding Source(s): Base: 0
Review sala Grant.	aries and adju	st as appropriate. Cost: TBD from Base	LEA-wide	✓ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent EnOther Subgroups:(Specify)		Est.Cost: \$0 Funding Source(s): Base: 0

Provide classified staff with compensation for participation in school/district operations during their non-work days (such as PBIS, safety planning). Provide compensation for teachers and classified staff to meet to discuss student needs.		LEA-wide	✓ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$118,326 Funding Source(s): Sup. Grant: \$97,233 SPED: \$5,246 Base: \$21,093
	of staff recognition and identify sites support to honor individual staff	LEA-wide	OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$0 Funding Source(s): Base: 0
	cher assignments and credentialing to appropriately credentialed for	LEA-wide	✓ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$0 Funding Source(s): Base: 0
		LCAP Y	ear 2 : 2016-17	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: Students benefit from more consistent support and a more positive school climate when all of the district staff have access to district trainings as well as other district information. This outcome will be assessed through the use of a survey of classified staff (with an 80% response rate) demonstrating increased access to meetings and trainings. The district will maintain a rate of 100% appropriate teacher assignment and credentialing, thereby meeting the Elementary and Seco Education Act (ESEA), Williams and California Ed. Code Section 44258.9 compliance requirements. Metric: Biennial climate survey completed by certificated and classified staff (80% overall staff satisfaction rating on the biennial climate employ and train highly qualified staff ensuring that 100 percent Highly Qualified Teacher (HQT) status, thereby meeting the Elementary Secondary Education Act (ESEA), Williams and California Ed. Code Section 44258.9 compliance requirements.			n 80% positive Secondary climate survey);	
,	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Hire and retain highly qualified staff, ensuring that all teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject areas and for the students that they are teaching.		LEA-wide	✓ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$0 Funding Source(s): Base: 0

	t as appropriate. Cost: TBD from Base	LEA-wide	<u>✓</u> ALL	Est.Cost: \$0 Funding Source(s):
Grant.			OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Base: 0
school/district operations PBIS, safety planning).	th compensation for participation in during their non-work days (such as teachers and classified staff to meet to	LEA-wide	✓ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$118,326 Funding Source(s): Sup. Grant: \$97,233 SPED: \$5,246 Base: \$21,093
	of staff recognition and identify sites support to honor individual staff	LEA-wide	✓ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$0 Funding Source(s): Base: 0
	cher assignments and credentialing to appropriately credentialed for	LEA-wide	✓ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$0 Funding Source(s): Base: 0
		LCAP Y	ear 3 : 2017-18	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	trainings as well as other district informates response rate) demonstrating increased. The district will maintain a rate of 100% Education Act (ESEA), Williams and Califo Metric: Biennial climate survey complete employ and train highly qualified staff er	upport and a mo ation. This outcon access to meetin appropriate teach ornia Ed. Code Se ed by certificated asuring that 100 p	re positive school climate when all of the district staff have access to disne will be measured through the use of a survey of classified staff (with gs and trainings. ther assignment and credentialing, thereby meeting the Elementary and	an 90% positive Secondary I climate survey);
,	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures

Final Draft 6.23.15 Page 34 of 68

Hire and retain highly qualified staff, ensuring that all teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject areas and for the students that they are teaching.	LEA-wide	✓ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$0 Funding Source(s): Base: 0
Review salaries and adjust as appropriate. Cost: TBD from Base Grant.	LEA-wide	✓ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$0 Funding Source(s): Base: 0
Provide classified staff with compensation for participation in school/district operations during their non-work days (such as PBIS, safety planning). Provide compensation for teachers and classified staff to meet to discuss student needs.	LEA-wide	OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$118,326 Funding Source(s): Sup. Grant: \$97,233 SPED: \$5,246 Base: \$21,093
Maintain current systems of staff recognition and identify sites that may need additional support to honor individual staff contributions.	LEA-wide	OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$0 Funding Source(s): Base: 0
Continuously monitor teacher assignments and credentialing to ensure that teachers are appropriately credentialed for assignments.	LEA-wide	✓ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$0 Funding Source(s): Base: 0

GOAL:	curriculum s environmen community.		d respect for the y contributing to,	eir school, community, the world and the , and engaging in, the school and	Related State and/or Lo 1 2 3 4 5 COE only: 9 Local : Specify	/ 6 / 7_8 _ 10		
Identified N	Identified Need: The climate and culture of each school needs to be conducive to learning and every school needs to help students to become responsible citizens by providing them with opportunities to contribute to their school and to the community.							
Goal Applies to: Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All								
LCAP Year 1: 2015-16								
school. Students will develop improved li that are implemented at the school sites. Students will benefit from a more positiv Students will have an improved ability to These outcomes will be measured using the skills into the core curriculum; annual call as evidenced by behavior data including the for students at sites. Metric: Office referrals, attendance rates			In timproves when students are provided with targeted support to improve behavior and connectedness to ife skills and social responsibility through PBIS, Project Cornerstone, AVID and CASSY social skills programs are school climate when schools provide students with activities that promote multi-cultural understanding. In develop civic responsibility when they are supported to provide community service. In the following: evidence of anti-bullying assemblies taking place at school sites, and the integration of life lendars of assemblies and multi-cultural events at school sites; a decrease in behavioral incidents in schools office referrals, suspension and expulsion data; a list of community service opportunities and lunch clubs are, chronic absenteeism rates, middle school drop-out rate, suspension rates, expulsion data, school climate are, calendars of multi-cultural events, student community service opportunities, schedules of social skills					
classes Actions/Services		Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identific	ed scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures			
Continue anti-bullying activities, assemblies, Project Cornerstone, PBIS, AVID (at middle school sites) and conflict resolution. Integrate Life Skills into the curriculum.		LEA-wide	✓ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English Control of the C		Est.Cost: \$47,066 Funding Source(s): Base: \$47,066			
Provide student friendly assemblies focusing on environmental issues/needs that incorporate action for students. Create additional multicultural events/celebrations.		LEA-wide	✓ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English County		Est.Cost: \$10,500 Funding Source(s): Donations: \$10,500			

CASSY mental health providers will facilitate social skills group	LEA-wide	<u>✓</u> ALL	thRedesignated fluent English proficient Donations: \$13,000				
sessions for identified students at all sites.		OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)					
Develop a community service board.	LEA-wide	<u>✓</u> ALL	Est.Cost: \$0 Funding Source(s):				
Develop a list of available on/off campus, Principal approved, community service opportunities. Provide lunch break activities and clubs at all sites.		OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	runung source(s).				
Trovide fation of earl delivities and clabs at all sites.							
ICAP Year 2 · 2016-17							

Students will benefit from access to health education curricula at targeted grade levels as outlined in the California Health Education Content Standards. Implementation of the health component will serve as outcome evidence.

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:

Student behavior and school climate will continue to improve through continued use of Project Cornerstone, PBIS, AVID, CASSY social skills and school-based activities to promote a positive climate. Further actions and metrics including attendance rates, suspension rates, chronic absenteeism rates and other measures will also be determined following analyses of years 2014/15 and 2015/16 data.

Research shows that student achievement improves when students are provided with targeted support to improve behavior and connectedness to school. We will improve behavior and school connectedness by maintaining outcome measures outlined in 2014/15 and 2015/16. Additional actions and support services to support school connectedness and positive behavior will be implemented as needed, following a review of data during the annual review of the 2015/16 LCAP.

Metric: Administrator classroom observations and walk throughs, office referrals, attendance rates, chronic absenteeism rates, middle school dropout rate, suspension rates, expulsion data.

Actions/Services Implement CCSS in health education for all applicable grade levels. Cost: TBD	Scope of Service LEA-wide	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service ✓ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Expenditures Est.Cost: \$0 Funding Source(s): Base: 0
Establish advisory homerooms in the middle school. Continue with lunch clubs and activities that are established in 2015/16.	LEA-wide	✓ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$0 Funding Source(s): Base: 0

ntinue anti-bullying activities, assemblies, Project Cornerstone, IS, AVID (at middle school sites) and conflict resolution. egrate Life Skills into the curriculum.		✓ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$47,066 - Funding Source(s): Base: \$47,066				
Continue to provide student friendly assemblies focusing on environmental issues/needs that incorporate action for students. Create additional multicultural events/celebrations.	LEA-wide	✓ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$10,500 Funding Source(s): Donations: \$10,500				
CASSY mental health providers will facilitate social skills group sessions for identified students at all sites.	LEA-wide	✓ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$230,500 - Funding Source(s): Base: \$191,500 SPED: \$26,000 Donations: \$13,000				
Maintain a community service board. Maintain/expand the list of available on/off campus, Principal approved, community service opportunities. Provide lunch break activities and clubs at all sites.	LEA-wide	OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$0 - Funding Source(s):				
LCAP Year 3: 2017-18							

Students will benefit from access to health education curricula at targeted grade levels as outlined in the California Health Education Content Standards. Implementation of the health component will serve as outcome evidence.

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:

Student behavior and school climate will continue to improve through continued use of Project Cornerstone, PBIS, AVID, CASSY social skills and school-based activities to promote a positive climate. Further actions and metrics including attendance rates, suspension rates, chronic absenteeism rates and other measures will also be determined following analyses of years 2014/15 and 2015/16 data. The objective is to maintain current attendance rates at 97% or higher and to maintain current suspension and chronic absenteeism rates at less than 3%.

Student academic achievement will improve when they are provided targeted support to improve their behavior and connection to their schools. This will be evidenced by maintaining goals outlined in 2014/15 and 2015/16. Additional support will be provided as needed, following a review of data related to implementation in those years.

<u>Metric</u>: Administrator classroom observations and walk throughs, office referrals, attendance rates, chronic absenteeism rates, middle school dropout rate, suspension rates, expulsion data

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures			
Continue anti-bullying activities, assemblies, Project Cornerstone, PBIS, AVID (at middle school sites) and conflict resolution. Integrate Life Skills into the curriculum.	LEA-wide	✓ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$47,066 - Funding Source(s): Base: \$47,066			
Continue to provide student friendly assemblies focusing on environmental issues/needs that incorporate action for students. Create additional multicultural events/celebrations.	LEA-wide	✓ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$10,500 Funding Source(s): Donations: \$10,500			
CASSY mental health providers will facilitate social skills group sessions for identified students at all sites.	LEA-wide	✓ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$230,500 Funding Source(s): Base: \$191,500 SPED: \$26,000 Donations: \$13,000			
Maintain a community service board.	LEA-wide	<u>✓</u> ALL	Est.Cost: \$0 - Funding Source(s):			
Maintain/expand the list of available on/off campus, Principal approved, community service opportunities. Provide lunch break activities and clubs at all sites.		OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)				
Goal Area 5: Ensure fiscal stability - Designate, comm	unicate, and revi	Related State and/or ew district's fiscal goals and examine				
GOAL: innovative methods that will reduce costs/expand rev programs.		- I V / 3 4 3	_ 10			
Identified Need : Identified Need: Clearly defined and maint Metric: District self-evaluation of district b		cal goals as measured by: District's fiscal goals and related budget docur als, audit report, etc.	nents			
Goal Applies to: Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All						
LCAP Year 1: 2015-16						
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: CAP Year 1: 2015-16 Students will benefit when resources are used effectively. Effective use of resources will be communicated through budget workshops and budget meetings at each school site and evidenced in the budget document. Metric: District self-evaluation of district based on fiscal goals, audit report, etc.						

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
 The annual budget development and review process will include: An annual audit of facilities, district assets and efficacy of district programs Planning meetings with all site administrators to discuss priorities and the allocation of resources Annual budget workshops conducted in consultation with the school board The development of a budget document that is used to communicate district budget information 	LEA-wide	✓ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$0 Funding Source(s):
·	LCAP Ye	ear 2 : 2016-17	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: Students will benefit when resources are meetings at each school site and evidence meetings. Metric: District self-evaluation of district.	ed in the budget based on fiscal g		
Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Actions/Services S		✓ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$0 Funding Source(s):
	LCAP Ye	ear 3 : 2017-18	
Expected Annual Students will benefit when resources are meetings at each school site and evidence Measurable Outcomes: Metric: District self-evaluation of district	ed in the budget		nops and budget

		Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service Exper		
 The annual budget development and review process will include: An annual audit of facilities, district assets and efficacy of district programs Planning meetings with all site administrators to discuss priorities and the allocation of resources Annual budget workshops conducted in consultation with the school board The development of a budget document that is used to communicate district budget information 		LEA-wide	✓ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent Eng Subgroups:(Specify)	Est.Cost: \$0 Funding Source(s):		
GOAL:	district reso	6: Increase the physical capacity of the distrources to successfully integrate a new school	ool into the distri	ict.	Related State and/or Local : Specify	6 <u>/</u> 7 8 _ 10
Identified N	Neea :	attendance rates	serve students in	in Moreland as measured by: Enrollment, stafl	fing, parent climate survey,	student
Goal App	nnlies to:	Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	LCAP Y	/ear 1 : 2015-16		
Student academic experiences will be improved when they have access to schools in their neighborhood with enrollment that is appropriate for size of the school site. This will be evidenced by schools that are fully staffed with required teachers and administration, students enrolled in the new school in grades TK-6, and decreased student enrollment in other elementary school sites. 80% of parents and students will express satisfaction with the new school and with the enrollment decreases at other district schools. District schools will be clean, safe and maintained in good repair per Williams compliance and California Ed. Code Section 17002 subsection (d) Metric: Enrollment, staffing, Parent/student Climate Survey, School Attendance Rates, Williams Compliance Report						s enrolled in the express subsection (d).
		Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified	Budgeted Expenditures	
by controlli	tate/maintain schools of more uniform size across the district controlling student placement. Intinue to fully staff the new school based on enrollment, TK-6.		✓ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		Est.Cost: \$1,636,999 Funding Source(s): Base: \$1,524,310 Parcel Tax: \$112,689	
Continue to modernize the school sites, based on the identified areas of need per the district construction committee.			✓ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)		Est.Cost: \$7,750,100 Funding Source(s): Bond: \$7,750,100	

LCAP Year 2: 2016-17						
Student academic experiences will be improved when they have access to schools in their neighborhood with enrollment that is appropriate for the size of the school site. This will be evidenced by schools that are fully staffed with required teachers and administration, students enrolled in the new school in grades TK-7, and decreased student enrollment in other elementary school sites. Enrollment across the district will be stabilized by the reopening of Latimer School. The district will determine the feasibility of expanding the school site to TK-8. District schools will be clean, safe and maintained in good repair per Williams compliance. Metric: Enrollment data, data related to staffing, Williams Compliance Report						
F	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures		
Maintain and monitor can	mpus improvements. Cost TBA	LEA-wide	<u>✓</u> ALL	Est.Cost: \$0 Funding Source(s):		
			OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	, ranang coatec(e).		
		LCAP Y	ear 3 : 2017-18			
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Actions/Services Scope of Service		•	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures		
		LEA-wide	<u>✓</u> ALL	Est.Cost: 0 Funding Source(s):		
Cost TBA			OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Tunung Source(s).		

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Annual Update

Annual Update Instructions: For each goal in the prior year LCAP, review the progress toward the expected annual outcome(s) based on, at a minimum, the required metrics pursuant to Education Code sections 52060 and 52066. The review must include an assessment of the effectiveness of the specific actions. Describe any changes to the actions or goals the LEA will take as a result of the review and assessment. In addition, review the applicability of each goal in the LCAP.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all pupils and did the provisions of those services result in the desired outcomes?
- 2) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, including, but not limited to, English learners, low-income pupils, and foster youth; and did the provision of those actions/services result in the desired outcomes?
- 3) How have the actions/services addressed the identified needs and goals of specific school sites and were these actions/services effective in achieving the desired outcomes?
- 4) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was examined to review progress toward goals in the annual update?
- 5) What progress has been achieved toward the goal and expected measurable outcome(s)? How effective were the actions and services in making progress toward the goal? What changes to goals, actions, services, and expenditures are being made in the LCAP as a result of the review of progress and assessment of the effectiveness of the actions and services?
- 6) What differences are there between budgeted expenditures and estimated actual annual expenditures? What were the reasons for any differences?

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals in the prior year LCAP. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	Goal Area 1: Close the achievement gap while receive challenging, rigorous, and appropriate	Related State and/or 12 COE only: 9_ Local : Specify	√ 6_7_8 ✓			
Goal Applies to:	Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups: A					
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Student academic achievement will be improved when students receive instruction that is aligned to the Common Core State Standards (CCSS). This goal will be demonstrated by analysis of the alignment between the current instructional program and the CCSS and a related plan for adjusting instruction towards the CCSS, including identification of an online system for benchmark and formative assessments. The district will also maintain 100% Williams compliance report for student access to textbooks.			Analysis of alignment between Common Core State Standards (CCSS) and current instructional program and interventions indicates progress that meets or exceeds targets in district CC implementation plan (see below). - Class size has been maintained at 2013-14 levels throughout the district. -All teachers TK – 8 th grades have implemented new CCSS-aligned materials and the district's comprehensive system of CCSS aligned benchmark assessments in ELA and math. (Target was to pilot with 10-20% of classes at each site.) -The district has maintained 100% Williams compliance for access to textbooks. The actions and services listed below met or exceeded implementation expectations and were very effective in supporting district progress toward meeting this goal.		
		LCAP Yea	ar: 2014-15			
	Planned Actions/Services	Budgeted Expenditures		Actual A	ctions/Services	Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
LCFF, the district w grade spans. Cost (2015-16) and 3 (2	Because Moreland already maintains class sizes aligned with LCFF, the district will maintain current class sizes reflective of grade spans. Cost continues, but is not specified in years 2 (2015-16) and 3 (2016-17). Only additional costs will be called out in years 2 (2015-16) and 3 (2016-17).		During 2014-15, class size ratios were maintained at 2013-14 levels (24:1 and 30:1) throughout the district. Implementation of this action met expectations.		\$529,686	
Scope of service:	LEA-wide		Scope of service:	LEA-wide		
	pilsEnglish Learners _Redesignated fluent English proficient ss:(Specify)			_	nglish proficientOther	

\$485,914	teachers are implement district's comprehensing assessments in ELA and Professional development coaches, was provided implementation of the A teacher survey was deffectiveness of districtions.	The alignment of our instructional program and interventions with the district CC implementation plan was mapped. It was decided that the district had the capacity to begin implementation of changes to the instructional program district-wide rather than just piloting with 10%-20% of classes at each site. Therefore, all teachers are implementing new CCSS aligned materials and the district's comprehensive system of CCSS aligned benchmark assessments in ELA and math. Professional development, including support of instructional coaches, was provided to all teachers to support the implementation of the CCSS. A teacher survey was developed and distributed to identify the effectiveness of district improvement efforts to date. Implementation of this action exceeded expectations.	
	Scope of service:	LEA-wide	
	<u>✓</u> ALL		
Low Income p Foster Youth		outhRedesignated fluent English proficientOther	
\$625,763	classes were added in Speech staffing was in	Special Education services were expanded within the district; two classes were added in August 2014 and RSP, Psychologist and Speech staffing was increased to provide increased services. Implementation of this action/services met expectations.	
	Scope of service:	LEA-wide	
	ALL		
	Foster YouthRec	designated fluent English proficient	
	\$625,763	\$485,914 assessments in ELA and Professional developmentation of the Ateacher survey was effectiveness of district Implementation of this Implementation of Implement	assessments in ELA and math. Professional development, including support of instructional coaches, was provided to all teachers to support the implementation of the CCSS. A teacher survey was developed and distributed to identify the effectiveness of district improvement efforts to date. Implementation of this action exceeded expectations. Scope of service: LEA-wide ✓ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify) Special Education services were expanded within the district; two classes were added in August 2014 and RSP, Psychologist and Speech staffing was increased to provide increased services. Implementation of this action/services met expectations. Scope of service: LEA-wideALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient ✓Other Subgroups:(Specify) <u>Students with Disabilities</u>

What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?

1. Feedback indicates that the 2014-15 LCAP, seems very repetitive as organized. The overarching Goal 1 should remain as written "Close the achievement gap while raising the achievement of all students". There were three different goals listed under Goal Area 1. Each of these sections included a goal statement, needs description and set of activities/strategies. The three areas were 1) Challenging curriculum and instruction for all students; 2) Effective interventions for students below grade level; and 3) Documentation of support for subgroups. The decision was made to reduce the number of sections by combining 2) Effective interventions for students and 3) Documentation of support for subgroups and by moving the items related to professional development from Goal Area 3 to Goal Area 1. This will streamline the organization of the 2015-18 LCAP.

					Final Draft 6.25.15 Fage 45 01 00		
	 Class size ratios should be maintained at levels as indicated above. We should continue to support teachers as they implement the CCSS by maintaining licensing agreements for new computer software and continuing to provide teacher stipends for professional development in this area. Special Education services that were increased in 2014-15 should be maintained at the same levels. 						
Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	Goal Area 1: Close the achievement gap while raising the achievement of all students - Related State and/or Local Priorities:						
Goal Applies to:	Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups:	Low Income, English Lea	arners, Foster Youth,	Hispanic or Latino, St	udents with Disability		
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Continuously develop and implement district-wide intervention strate. Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Low Income, English Lear Student academic achievement will be improved when all sites have access to interventions for students performing below grade		Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	of students perform including Read 180, for EL students not (AMAO I) was plann 2014. Analyses of district sthat the percentage decrease by 10% by The actions and service including the students of the service states of the service states are services.	ented common interventions to meet the needs ing below grade level in ELA and/or math Mind Institute, etc. A summer bridge program making expected progress in acquiring English ed and implemented beginning in summer, student performance benchmark data indicate of students below grade level standards will the end of the 2014-15 school year. Vices listed below were implemented as very effective in supporting district progress is goal.		

LCAP Year: 2014-15						
	Planned Actions/Services		Actual Actions/Services			
		Budgeted Expenditures			Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures	
programs across schoo interventions develope Mind Institute, etc.). Er English Learners, Low I time certificated and to provide during school i sites offer students two	quality of intervention and enrichment Is and implement a common process for and by the district (including Read 180, asure that all sites have support for ancome and Foster Youth from three partwo part-time classified staff dedicated to antervention across all sites. Ensure all to 8-10 week sessions with up to 2 d support personnel for after school and acceleration.	\$521,077	An analysis of intervention and enrichment programs across district schools indicated a need to bolster services to underperforming students. Disaggregated data related to the performance of English Learners, Low Income students, Students with Disabilities and Foster Youth were analyzed in September and in January and used to initiate intervention support, monitor student progress and adjust intervention services, as needed. Intervention support services are provided to identified students during the school day and in an intensive remediation and acceleration afterschool program. At the beginning of each 8-10 week session, teachers conduct a pre-assessment and identify student learning goals. Post assessments are conducted at the end of each session and results are analyzed to ensure that students have made expected progress. Implementation of these services met expectations.		\$498,248	
Scope of service:	LEA-wide		Scope of service:	LEA-wide		
ALL			ALL			
OR: ✓Low Income pupils ✓English Learners ✓Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient ✓Other Subgroups:(Specify) Students with Disabilities			OR: <u>✓</u> Low Income pupils <u>✓</u> English Learners <u>✓</u> Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient <u>✓</u> Other Subgroups:(Specify) <u>Students with Disabilities</u>			
	rovide English Learner students who failed to make adequate early progress on the CELDT with a 19-day summer bridge program. \$56,655		A 19-day summer bridge program to support English Learners who failed to make adequate yearly progress (AMAO1) on the CELDT was planned and implemented beginning in summer 2014. Student pre-post assessment results indicate that this service met expectations for progress toward goal.		\$56,654	
Scope of service:	LEA-wide		Scope of service:	LEA-wide		
ALL OR:Low Income pupils ✓English LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			ALL OR:Low Income pupils :Foster YouthRec Subgroups:(Specify)	✓English Learners designated fluent English proficientOther		

What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?

A review of past progress, indicates that:

- 1. Feedback indicates that the 2014-15 LCAP, seems very repetitive as organized. The overarching Goal 1 should remain as written "Close the achievement gap while raising the achievement of all students". There were three different goals listed under Goal Area 1. Each of these sections included a goal statement, needs description and set of activities/strategies. The three areas were 1) Challenging curriculum and instruction for all students; 2) Effective interventions for students below grade level; and 3) Documentation of support for subgroups. The decision was made to reduce the number of sections by combining 2) Effective interventions for students and 3) Documentation of support for subgroups and by moving the items related to professional development from Goal Area 3 to Goal Area 1. This will streamline the organization of the 2015-18 LCAP.
- 2. Intervention services should continue to be implemented.
- 3. Summer bridge program services should continue to be offered to meet the needs of students who are not making expected academic progress and the program should be expanded to serve other identified subgroups such as students of poverty.

Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	Goal Area 1: Clos monitor support		o while raising the achiever	nent of all students	- Document and	Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 2 3
Goal Applies to:	Schools: Applicable Pupil	All Subgroups:	Low Income, English Lea	arners, Foster Youth,	, Hispanic or Latino, St	udents with Disability
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	district has a cleato address the acanalysis of stude a report highligh for student achies spots, and a plan analysis. The distand English Learn there will be a 55	chievement gap. This will achievement over the atting areas of strength are evement, schools or strain to address student ach trict will also continue to	areas of needed support Il be demonstrated by an e previous five years and nd needed improvement tegies that are bright ievement based on the o measure CELDT data s. By the end of the year, er of ELs classified as	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	by subgroup, were cand areas of needed district leadership to CELDT data and Eng EL student progress development standare making continua There has been an impoving from Intermandare.	lish Learner reclassification rates are monitored. related to the mastery of the English language ards is monitored to ensure that all EL students

LCAP Year: 2014-15						
		Actual Actions/Services				
Budgeted Expenditures		Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures				
\$0	District staff has worked with the site and district leadership team providing them each trimester with student performance data that are disaggregated by sub-group. As data are analyzed through curriculum mapping days, staff determines if additional support in the areas of professional development or direct support to students are needed. Individual student performance data are also analyzed, in order to identify students who are progressing as expected and those who are in need of additional support.		\$90,264			
	Scope of service:	LEA-wide				
	ALL					
	OR: ✓Low Income pupils ✓English Learners ✓Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient ✓Other Subgroups:(Specify) Hispanic; Students with Disabilities					
	Budgeted Expenditures	Budgeted Expenditures District staff has work providing them each to that are disaggregated curriculum mapping of the areas of profession students are needed. also analyzed, in orded expected and those with the areas of profession students are needed. The areas of profession students are n	Budgeted Expenditures District staff has worked with the site and district leadership team providing them each trimester with student performance data that are disaggregated by sub-group. As data are analyzed through curriculum mapping days, staff determines if additional support in the areas of professional development or direct support to students are needed. Individual student performance data are also analyzed, in order to identify students who are progressing as expected and those who are in need of additional support. Scope of service: LEA-wide ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientChar Subgroup:(Specific) Hispanics Students with Disabilities.			

What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?

A review of past progress, indicates that:

- 1. Feedback indicates that the 2014-15 LCAP, seems very repetitive as organized. The overarching Goal 1 should remain as written "Close the achievement gap while raising the achievement of all students". There were three different goals listed under Goal Area 1. Each of these sections included a goal statement, needs description and set of activities/strategies. The three areas were 1) Challenging curriculum and instruction for all students; 2) Effective interventions for students below grade level; and 3) Documentation of support for subgroups. The decision was made to reduce the number of sections by combining 2) Effective interventions for students and 3) Documentation of support for subgroups and by moving the items related to professional development from Goal Area 3 to Goal Area 1. This will streamline the organization of the 2015-18 LCAP.
- 2. Monitoring of student performance, disaggregated by subgroup, every trimester needs to continue in order to ensure that students are progressing as expected.

		Related State and/or	Local Priorities:					
Original GOAL from prior year	Goal Area 2: Ensure effective communication	123 <u>~</u> 4 <u>~</u> 5_	6 <u>_</u> ⁄ 78					
LCAP:	students parents, and the community	udents parents, and the community						
20/11 :					Local : Specify			
Goal Applies to:	Schools: All							
	Applicable Pupil Subgroups: A							
	Students will experience improved support will communicates regularly and clearly to staff, st community. This will be demonstrated by an analysis of the community of the community.	tudents, and lysis of staff			rception survey was condu be used to adjust communi anal development.			
Expected Annual	feedback and adjustments to the forms of cor with staff and parents via the district commun The increased communication between school	nication plan.	Actual Annual	English as a Second L three district sites.	anguage parent education	classes are held at		
Measurable Outcomes:	result in decreased suspensions by 5% district-wide and		Outcomes:		District suspension rates have decreased by 50% in 2014-15; the district-wide expulsion rate is below 1% and district-wide referrals to SARB are below 1%.			
				Implementation of these services met all expectations.				
	LCAP Year: 2014-15							
Planned Actions/Services			Actual Actions/Services					
Budgeted Expenditures		_			Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures			
integrate them int community liaisor communication ar Continue to imple	d determine new ways to communicate and to PD and staff meetings. Interview as and determine effective systems of and develop a plan for use across the district. The ment district communication plan through Provide parent education classes at 4 sites in d Language.	\$124,729	A district-wide staff perception survey was conducted in Fe 2015. Results of the survey will be used to plan ongoing professional development based on indicated needs. This survey will be conducted every other year (2016/17, 2 etc.) Parent education classes in English as a Second Language a being conducted at 3 sites this year due to challenges find teacher to teach the class at a fourth site.		o plan ongoing ated needs. r year (2016/17, 2018/19, econd Language are to challenges finding a	\$135,007		
Scope of service:	LEA-wide		Scope of service:	LEA-wide				
<u>√</u> ALL			<u>✓</u> ALL					
OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)					

expenditures will	A review of past progress, indicates that: 1. Feedback indicates that the 2014-15 LCAP, seems very repetitive as organized. The overarching Goal 2 should reas written "Ensure Effective Communication". However, the two areas, related to Goal 2 should be combined u one Goal 2 rather than being organized as separate goals. This will streamline the organization of the 2015-18 L 2. Staff survey was conducted as planned and will inform planning for professional development and changes in communications methods. The survey should be conducted every other year. 3. Parent education classes will continue to be provided at up to 4 sites based on staff availability. Related State and/or Local Prioritic						
Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	Original GOAL from prior year including the district safety plan. I CAP undates and information on the transition to Common Core.						
Goal Applies to:	Schools: All						
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Students will experience improved coordination between school and home when parents are supported to connect with schools. This will be demonstrated by community liaisons being placed at each site with 15% or more families speaking another language that is the same (i.e. 15% Spanish), and by translation services being provided for all relevant languages. This district will also			Community liaisons have been placed at each site where 15% or more of the student population speaks a primary language other than English. As needed, translation services are provided in the primary language of students at sites where 15% or more of the student population speaks a primary language other than English. The district has maintained an attendance rate of 97% or greater and has maintained a chronic absence rate below .5% district-wide. Implementation of these actions/services met expectations.			
		LCAP Y	ear: 2014-15				
	Planned Actions/Services	Budgeted Expenditures		Actual A	actions/Services	Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures	
Utilize community liaisons/translators to bridge home/school gap. Add community liaisons to three sites that have 15% or greater of a designated language. Provide translation services as needed at all sites. Cost continues, but is not specified in years 2 (2015-16) and 3 (2016-17). Only additional costs will be called out in years 2 (2015-16) and 3 (2016-17).			where 15% or mo	n services have been ad re of the student popul other than English. es are provided, as need		\$176,731	

Scope of service:	LEA-wide			Scope of service:	LEA-wide			
<u>√</u> ALL	,			ALL	'			
	lsEnglish Learners Redesignated fluent English pro :(Specify)	oficient		OR: <u>✓ Low Income pupils <u>✓ English Learners</u> <u>✓ Foster Youth <u>✓ Redesignated fluent English proficient</u> <u>✓ Other Subgroups:(Specify) Hispanic and Students w Disabilities</u></u></u>				
What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals? A review of past progress, indicate 1. The two areas, related to Goa will streamline the organization 2. Continue to provide communical language other than English.				hat: should be combined of the 2015-18 LCAP	under one Goal 2 rath	ner than being organized as	-	
trom prior var	Goal Area 3: Attract, support, a technology to allow staff and s			he availability and ac	cessibility of	Related State and/or 1 2 3 4 5 COE only: 9 Local: Specify	_ 6 <u>v</u> 7 <u>v</u> 8 _ 10	
Goal Applies to: -	Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups:	All						
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Expected Annual Measurable Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Students will experience improved support when the district database is updated and staff are trained on its use. This will be demonstrated by a list of updates made to the student and staff database. Students will experience increased access to technology when teachers receive targeted support to increase use of technology. This will be demonstrated by an 80% response			Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Student database system was updated in Spring 2015. Teachers were surveyed regarding integration of technology into their classroom, their level of comfort with this and their need for professional development/support in order to accomplish the integration. Implementation of these actions/services met expectations.			
			LCAP Ye	ar : 2014-15				
	Planned Actions/Ser	vices			Actual A	ctions/Services		
			Budgeted Expenditures				Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures	
Update student database to improve staff access to relevant student data. Survey teachers on level of comfort and needed support for technology integration in classrooms. Cost continues, but is not specified in years 2 (2015-16) and 3 (2016-17). Only additional costs will be called out in years 2 (2015-16) and 3 (2016-17).		\$80,000	The student database system was updated, as of Spring 2015, to allow staff access to relevant student data. Teachers were surveyed regarding their level of comfort related to			\$63,125		

Scope of service:	LEA-wide		Scope of service:	LEA-wide		
<u>✓</u> ALL			<u>✓</u> ALL			
	lsEnglish Learners tedesignated fluent English proficient :(Specify)		OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			
-	e made as a result of 1. Feedback in the ress and/or changes to 1. Feedback in the written "A 1.	ttract, support, and re combine with items ur the organization of th	4-15 LCAP, seems very tain exemplary staff". nder Goal 1. The secon ne 2015-18 LCAP. ng the system to provi	However, the first a d area under Goal 3	zed. The overarching Goal 3 nd third areas related to Go should be retained under the essional development/suppo	al 3 should be his Goal. This will
Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	Goal Area 3: Attract, support, and retain exe	mplary staff - Foster a	a culture of valued sta	ff	Related State and/or 1 2 3 4 5 COE only: 9 Local: Specify	6 <u>•</u> 7 8
Goal Annlies to:	Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Al	I				
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Student academic experiences will be improve and staff are recognized for their contribution will be demonstrated by use of a diverse set of strategies inclusive of all staff and by maintain staff satisfaction rate on the annual climate so will also maintain a rate of 100% appropriate and credentialing.	ed when teachers s and service. This f communication ning a 90% overall urvey. The district	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	in various ways inclu increase. The annual staff clim satisfaction rate. The district has mair appropriate teacher	re recognized for their contiding, this year, with a 2% contact survey indicated a 80% stained a rate of 100% with assignment and credentialinese actions/services met e	ost of living salary overall staff regard to ng.

		LCAP Y	ear: 2014-15		
	Planned Actions/Services			Actual Actions/Services	
		Budgeted Expenditures			Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
but is not specified in	ving Increase for all staff. Cost continues, years 2 (2015-16) and 3 (2016-17). Only e called out in years 2 (2015-16) and 3	\$116,238	A 2% Cost of Living Inc	rease was provided to all staff.	\$116,238
Scope of service:	LEA-wide		Scope of service: LEA-wide		
✓ ALL			<u>✓</u> ALL		
OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			OR:Low Income pupilsFoster YouthRed Subgroups:(Specify)		
that may need additio contributions. Collect Initiate a formal study compared to compara	ems of staff recognition and identify sites nal support to honor individual staff staff feedback on a climate survey. of classified staff salaries and benefits as ble districts. Review certificated salaries he negotiation process.	\$60,315	A climate survey was conducted that provided staff feedback which will inform planning of future professional development. A formal reclassification study was conducted related to district classified salaries and benefits compared to comparable districts. Results will be available in 2015. Classified and certificated negotiations teams have participated in professional development related to Interest-Based Bargaining.		\$29,700
Scope of service:	LEA-wide		Scope of service:	LEA-wide	
<u>√</u> ALL			<u>✓</u> ALL		
	oilsEnglish Learners lesignated fluent English proficient pecify)		OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		
What changes in actio	as sorvices and A review of nac	t progress indicates t	hat:	·	

what changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?

A review of past progress, indicates that

- 1. However, the first and third areas related to Goal 3 should be moved to combine with items under Goal 1. The second area under Goal 3 should be retained under this Goal. This will streamline the organization of the 2015-18 LCAP.
- 2. The staff climate survey will continue to inform professional development planning and should be continued.
- 3. Negotiations will continue using skills developed through professional development related to Interest-Based Bargaining.

Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	Goal Area 3: Attract, support, development						Local Priorities: 6 <u>\u03c7</u> 8 10
Goal Applies to:	Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups:	All					
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Students will experience improved academic experiences when all school staff have been provided relevant and supportive professional development. This will be evidenced by 80% of staff expressing satisfaction on the quality of professional development, staff attendance at PD sessions and evidence of district communication to all staff of PD opportunities.			Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Staff surveys have informed planning of professional developmen activities. 80% of the staff has expressed satisfaction with the quality of professional development. Implementation of these actions/services met expectations.		the quality of
	-1 1 1 1 1 1 1		LCAP Ye	ear: 2014-15			
	Planned Actions/Se	rvices	Budgeted Expenditures		Actual A	Actions/Services	Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
Provide targeted PD to teachers and classified staff that focuses on job-specific duties. Communicate PD opportunities to all staff, have PD occur at times that is inclusive of all staff (when possible) and compensate staff for extra time. Make certain all PD materials are available to staff including PD for English Learners in order to support and monitor student language acquisition. Cost continues, but is not specified in years 2 (2015-16) and 3 (2016-17). Only additional costs will be called out in years 2 (2015-16) and 3 (2016-17).			\$441,309	survey provided infi job-specific duties. Professional develo district-wide throug An effort is made to times when target a	A staff perception survey was conducted in February 2015. This survey provided information regarding staff needs in the area of job-specific duties. Professional development opportunities are announced to staff district-wide through email and/or staff newsletters. An effort is made to hold professional development activities at times when target audiences are available to attend and staff is compensated for time spent beyond the work day.		
Scope of service: LEA-wide				Scope of service: LEA-wide ✓ ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			
expenditures will b		 This area sh streamline 	t progress, indicates to the combined with the combined with the organization of the conducted should be conducted.	ith items under Goal ne 2015-18 LCAP.	_	rganized as a separate goal	in this area. This will

Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	Goal Area 4: Prepare student awareness and respect for so Schools: Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups:	Local Priorities: 6					
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Annual Intervention Support (PBIS) and Project Cornerstone programs. This will be demonstrated through informational drop-ins and newsletters on PBIS and Project Cornerstone.			Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	The staff perception survey provided positive feedback related to the district's implementation of the PBIS and Project Cornerstone programs. Implementation of these actions/services met expectations.		
			LCAP Ye	ear: 2014-15			
	Planned Actions/S	ervices	Budgeted Expenditures		Actual A	Actions/Services	Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
Involve parents with PBIS and Project Cornerstone, and train parent volunteer coordinators.		\$0	The district has continued to provide professional development related to PBIS and Project Cornerstone to parents, teachers and site administrators. All certificated staff members at all sites have participated in this professional development and all students participate in annual update training related to the programs.			\$30,743	
Scope of service:	LEA-wide			Scope of service:	LEA-wide		
<u>√</u> ALL				<u>✓</u> ALL			
	pilsEnglish Learners _Redesignated fluent English p s:(Specify)	roficient		OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			
expenditures will l	ctions, services, and be made as a result of ogress and/or changes to	 Feedback i written "Pr combined LCAP since through th of the 2015 Profession 	repare students to becunder one Goal 4 rath it is a part of the annue District Safety Comr 5-18 LCAP.	4-15 LCAP, seems ver come responsible citi er than being organi ual updating of each mittee which includes oport the implementa	zens". However, the f zed as separate goals. school's Single Plan fo s district and site admi	zed. The overarching Goal 4 irst three areas, related to 0 The fourth area should be or Student Achievement and inistrators. This will streaml Project Cornerstone prograre.	Goal 4 should be deleted from the I is monitored ine the organization

-							
Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	Goal Area 4: Prepare students to become res responsibility by contributing to and engagin	-		strate social	Related State and/or 1 2 3 4 5 <u>•</u> COE only: 9_	∠ 6 ⊻ 7 8	
LCAP.					Local : Specify		
Goal Applies to:	Schools: All						
Godi Applies to:	Applicable Pupil Subgroups:	l					
Evported	Student academic achievement will improve uprovided targeted support to improve their be	ehavior and		Mental health providers through CASSY facilitated social skills groups for identified students. While social skills lessons were taught in every classroom and statements.			
Expected Annual	connection to their schools. This will be evide provided by counselors in every classroom on	-	Actual Annual				
Measurable	tutoring and mentoring systems in schools an		Measurable Outcomes:		ills groups for identified students were provided, peer tutoring		
Outcomes:	skills groups for identified students.		Outcomes.	and mentoring systems have not yet been implemented at the middle school level.			
				Implementation of t	hese actions/services partia	Illy met expectations.	
	LCAP Year: 2014-15						
	Planned Actions/Services			Actual A	Actions/Services		
		Budgeted Expenditures				Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures	
Provide peer tutor graders with a 7th	ing opportunities. Match incoming 6th grade mentor.		The district has not developed peer tutoring opportunities for incoming 6 th graders by matching them with a 7 th grade mentor.				
Mental health pro	viders through CASSY provide groups in social students at all sites.	\$170,000	Mental health providers through CASSY provided groups in social			\$144,000	
	ut is not specified in years 2 (2015-16) and 3 ditional costs will be called out in years 2 016-17).		skills for identified students at all sites. climate surveys indicate positive percep		_		
Scope of service:	LEA-wide		Scope of service:	LEA-wide			
<u>√</u> ALL			<u>✓</u> ALL				
	oilsEnglish Learners Redesignated fluent English proficient s:(Specify)		OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)				

expenditures will l	actions, services, and be made as a result of ogress and/or changes to	 The first the goals. This goals. This School clir service shows Feedback 	f past progress, indicates that: st three areas, related to Goal 4 should be combined under one Goal 4 rather than being organized as separate This will streamline the organization of the 2015-18 LCAP. climate surveys indicate positive perception of CASSY provided groups in social skills for identified students. This e should continue to be offered. ack indicates that, upon reflection, the idea of establishing peer tutoring and 7 th grade mentoring programs should ntinue to be included in the LCAP.				
Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	from prior year LCAP: Goal Area 4: Prepare students to become responsible citizens - Provide students life skills and health COE only: 9_ Local : Specify						/ 6 <u>√</u> 7_8 <u>√</u>
Goal Applies to:	Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups:	A					
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Student behavior and school use of the Cornerstone and P be evidenced by PBIS and Proimplementation plans.	climate will be in BIS program at s	mproved through school sites. This will	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Project Cornerstone and PBIS were implemented district-wide. Implementation of these actions/services met expectations.		
			LCAP Ye	ear: 2014-15			
	Planned Actions/S	ervices			Actual A	Actions/Services	
			Budgeted Expenditures	E			Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
Continue Project Cornerstone and Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS) and keep it current. Provide AVID program at middle school sites. Cost continues, but is not specified in years 2 (2015-16) and 3 (2016-17). Only additional costs will be called out in years 2 (2015-16) and 3 (2016-17).			\$55,816	LifeSkills training was provided to students. Project Cornerstone and Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS) professional development was provided to parents, teachers and site administrators this year. The student database was updated to allow teachers to access relevant student information. AVID program was implemented at the middle school sites. Surveys of students, parents and teachers indicated positive perceptions of these programs/services.			\$52,429
Scope of service:	LEA-wide			Scope of service:	LEA-wide		
✓_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			✓ ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)				

expenditures will I	actions, services, and be made as a result of ogress and/or changes to	 The first the goals. This School clin 	will streamline the or	Goal 4 should be con ganization of the 20 he need to continue	15-18 LCAP. supporting the imple	l 4 rather than being organi mentation of the PBIS and F	•	
Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	Goal Area 4: Prepare student annually.	ts to become res	ponsible citizens - Re	vise and update Sch	ool Safety Plans	Related State and/or 1 🕊 2 3 4 5 COE only: 9 Local : Specify	6 <u>~</u> 78	
Goal Applies to:	Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups:	Al						
Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All Student safety at school will improve when the district safety is reviewed and updated. This will be demonstrated by up-to-school safety plans at all schools and by maintaining the district safety is reviewed and updated. This will be demonstrated by up-to-school safety plans at all schools and by maintaining the district safety is reviewed and updated. This will be demonstrated by up-to-school safety plans at all schools and by maintaining the district safety is reviewed and updated. This will be demonstrated by up-to-school safety plans at all schools and by maintaining the district safety is reviewed and updated. This will be demonstrated by up-to-school safety plans at all schools and by maintaining the district safety is reviewed and updated. This will be demonstrated by up-to-school safety plans at all schools and by maintaining the district safety is reviewed.			e district safety plan trated by up-to-date taining the district's	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	School safety plans a Facilities Inspections	The district safety plan was reviewed and updated. School safety plans are up-dated annually and the district's Williams Facilities Inspections indicated a 100% compliance rate. Implementation of these actions/services met expectations.		
			LCAP Ye	ar: 2014-15				
Planned Actions/Services					Actual A	Actions/Services		
	LCAP Year: 2014-15 Planned Actions/Services Budgeted Expenditures Actual Actions/Services					Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures		
classified staff sch Project Cornerstor	d list of school supplies, master edules, assigned duties, trainin ne, anti-bullying classes, and co to be standardized and implen	ngs, PBIS and onflict	\$0	preparedness supp schedules, assigne	s a standardized list of blies, master schedule: d duties, trainings, PBI es, anti-bullying classe	s, classified staff	\$0	
Scope of service:	LEA-wide			Scope of service:	LEA-wide			
<u>√</u> ALL	:			<u>✓</u> ALL				
	pilsEnglish Learners _Redesignated fluent English pros:(Specify)	roficient		OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)				
expenditures will I	nctions, services, and be made as a result of ogress and/or changes to	each school's S		Achievement and is	monitored through th	CAP since it is a part of the and the contract Safety Committee 5-18 LCAP.		

Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	Goal Area 5: Ensure fiscal stability - Desig	nate, communicate, and	al goals	Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 COE only: 9 10 Local: Specify		
Goal Applies to:	Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups:	All				
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Students will benefit when resources are used effectively. This will be evidenced by budget being communicated through a budget document, budget workshops and budget meetings at each school site.		Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Budget information is communicated bi-monthly at Board meetin at district leadership meetings and through individual meetings w staff members. Implementation of these actions/services met expectations.		vidual meetings with
		LCAP Y	ear: 2014-15			
	Planned Actions/Services			Actual A	Actions/Services	
		Budgeted Expenditures				Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
Communicate district budget each year through a budget document. Conduct budget workshops each year in consultation with the school board. Provide a planning meeting with every site and district administrator to identify priorities and allocate resources.			in Moreland includ	 The annual budget development and review process implemented in Moreland includes: An annual audit of facilities, district assets and efficacy of district programs Planning meetings with all site administrators to discuss priorities and the allocation of resources Annual budget workshops conducted in consultation with the school board The development of a budget document that is used to communicate district budget information 		
Scope of service:	LEA-wide		Scope of service:	LEA-wide		
expenditures will be made as a result of overarching Goal 5 should remain		g Goal 5 should remain as	✓ ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) feedback indicated that the 2014-15 LCAP, seems very repetitive as org s written "Ensure fiscal stability". However, the two areas, related to G than being organized as separate goals. This will streamline the organized		oal 5 should be	

Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	-						Local Priorities: _ 6 7 8 _ 10
Goal Applies to:	Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups:	All					
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Annual will be evidenced through an annual audit of budget. easurable			Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes: The annual audit of the budget was conducted as part of the budget			,
			LCAP Ye	ar: 2014-15			
	Planned Actions/Service	es _			Actual A	actions/Services	
			Budgeted Expenditures				Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
Conduct annual audit of facilities, district assets and efficacy of district programs as a part of the budget development process. \$0		\$0	The annual audit of facilities, district assets and efficacy of district programs was conducted as part of the budget development process. \$0			\$0	
Scope of service:	ce: LEA-wide Scope of				LEA-wide		
<u>✓</u> ALL				<u>✓</u> ALL			
	e pupilsEnglish Learners _Redesignated fluent English profici ss:(Specify)	ient		OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			
expenditures will		-	_		elated to Goal 5 should e organization of the 2	d be combined under one Go	oal 5 rather than
Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	Goal Area 6: Increase the physical capacity of the district As capacity increases, allocate staff, students 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 7 1 8 9 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1					<u>/</u> 6 <u>/</u> 7 <u>/</u> 8 <u>/</u>	
Goal Applies to:	Schools: All						
Expected Annual Measurable	Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Student academic experiences will access to schools in their neighbor appropriate for the size of the schools.	rhood with er	nrollment that is	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	enrollment at other	opened in fall 2014 this decr district elementary schools. satisfaction survey results w	

tı ir e ir	teachers and administration, students enrolled in the new school in grades TK-5, and decreased student enrollment in other elementary school sites. Parents and students express satisfaction in the new school and in decreases in enrollment in existing schools.			the school board, site administrator and staff. Implementation of these actions/services met expectations.			
		LCAP Ye	ar: 2014-15				
	Planned Actions/Services			Actual Actions/Services			
		Budgeted Expenditures			Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures		
enrollment process t	staff into the new school. Adjust district to reflect new school boundaries. Provide sessions for families moving to the new	\$943,249	Latimer School opened Parent information sess school. The district enrollment Students and staff were A parent perception sube shared with the sch	\$1,069,659			
Scope of service:	LEA-wide		Scope of service:	LEA-wide			
	oupilsEnglish Learners edesignated fluent English proficient (Specify)		✓ ALL OR:Low Income pupFoster YouthRed Subgroups:(Specify)				
_	npus to the same level and specifications to ses and providing for the basic program ampus.	\$2,444,500	-	n was completed prior to opening Latimer in ame level of program and services as ict schools.	\$3,890,669		
Scope of service:	LEA-wide		Scope of service:	School-wide			
✓ ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)			✓ ALL OR:Low Income pupFoster YouthRed Subgroups:(Specify)	oilsEnglish Learners esignated fluent English proficientOther			
Staff will participate in Project-based learning training days (per Closing the Achievement Gap plan) and planning days to prepare for the opening of school.		\$59,200	Staff participated in Project-based learning training days (per Closing the Achievement Gap plan) and planning days to prepare for the opening of school.		\$83,017		

Final Draft 6.23.15 Page 62 of 68

Scope of service: Schoolwide			Scope of service:	Schoolwide	
<u>✓</u> ALL			<u>✓</u> ALL		
OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)			OR :Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)		
What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?	A review of pas	t progress, indicates t	hat Goal Area 6 should	. , ,	

Section 3: Use of Supplemental and Concentration Grant funds and Proportionality

A. In the box below, identify the amount of funds in the LCAP year calculated on the basis of the number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner pupils as determined pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(5).

Describe how the LEA is expending these funds in the LCAP year. Include a description of, and justification for, the use of any funds in a districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide manner as specified in 5 CCR 15496.

For school districts with below 55 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils in the district or below 40 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils at a schoolsite in the LCAP year, when using supplemental and concentration funds in a districtwide or schoolwide manner, the school district must additionally describe how the services provided are the most effective use of funds to meet the district's goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. (See 5 CCR 15496(b) for guidance.)

Total amount of Supplemental and Concentration grant funds

\$ 2,389,488

The District is currently utilizing the 2015-2015 state's identified percentage of high need students at 44.9%. The district is investing in a range of interventions for students at all of our sites to meet the needs of the unduplicated pupils in an efficient manner. Our data analysis and stakeholder engagement/input this year identified high-leverage strategies that will meet the identified needs of our low income students, foster youth and English Learners. We have chosen to invest in these identified strategies including small group interventions during the day; extended learning time after school and during the summer to support our students that are achieving below grade level, especially low income students, foster youth, and English Language Learners; professional development related to meeting the needs of our identified subgroups; and increased parent engagement.

The district invests in supporting English Learners by being more systematic and comprehensive with our services for English Learners. We are small enough that we are able to monitor individual student progress on a trimester basis. Additionally, we have determined that parent involvement/engagement is crucial to student success so we have extended our community outreach and on-site parent engagement opportunities. We have also studied recent interventions that have been implemented to meet the needs of English learners over past five years and have identified the high-leverage strategies that have been successful. These are the strategies that will be implemented to meet English learner needs, thereby diminishing the existing learning gap.

Service	FTE	Location	Purpose	Cost	
Teacher	1	Anderson School	Lower class sizes	\$	103,31
ELD Standards Based Intervention PD		All Sites	PD for ELD Standards Based Intervention Strategies	\$	58,146
Instructional Coaches		1 per site and 1 at district level	Instructional support to teachers with a focus on meeting the needs of ELs, foster youth, low income students, students w disabilities and Hispanic students. Support to include data analysis, curriculum mapping, demonstration lessons, and instructional coaching.	\$	801,730
Academic Intervention Programs			Student support for targeted groups: EL's, Low Income,		
using Technology		All Sites	Foster Youth, and Students with Disabilities.	\$	59,500
After school Tutoring for Target Groups		All Sites	Increased Intervention support for ELs, Low Income Students, Foster Youth and Students with Disabilities.	\$	44,464
Intervention Classes	2 Part-time Cert. 3 Part-time Classified	All Sites	Increased Intervention support for underperforming students including the following groups: ELs, Low Income Students, Foster Youth and Students with Disabilities.	\$	405,200
Bilingual Community Liaisons		Anderson, Latimer, Payne, and Moreland MS	Increased parent engagement	\$	179,230
Parent ESL Classes		Anderson, Baker, EDS, Payne, MMS	Increased parent engagement	\$	53,427
Summer Bridge Academy		Open to students from all sites	Provide EL's, Low Income, Foster Youth and Students with Disabilities, with academic and English language development support, as needed.	\$	72,133
Staff Development - CCSS, ELD State Standards, Technology, and Materials		District – All Sites	PD will focus on instructional strategies to meet the needs of identified sub groups.	\$	462,159
English Learner Committee Stipends		All Sites	Program development to meet the needs of EL's	\$	8,878
English Learner Clerical Staff	0.80	District	Support for English learners, including CELDT	\$	44,075
Data Analysis and Intervention/Acceleration Support		All Sites	All TK-8 teachers spend one day each trimester reviewing and analyzing performance of students in targeted groups: EL's, Low Income, Foster Youth, and Students with Disabilities. Academic Interventions planned.	\$	97,23
			TOTAL	Ś	2,389,48

B. In the box below, identify the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all pupils in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a).

Consistent with the requirements of 5 CCR 15496, demonstrate how the services provided in the LCAP year for low income pupils, foster youth, and English learners provide for increased or improved services for these pupils in proportion to the increase in funding provided for such pupils in that year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(7). An LEA shall describe how the proportionality percentage is met using a quantitative and/or qualitative description of the increased and/or improved services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils.

7.09% %

For 2015-2016, our minimum proportionality percentage for unduplicated pupils is 7.09%. This means that we will be providing increased or improved services that are at least 7.09% more for low income pupils, foster youth and English Learners when compared to what is being offered to all students.

In Section 3A, we identify specific goals, actions and expenditures that will be used to meet the specific needs of English learners, low income students, foster youth and students with disabilities. These programs and services include a reduced student to teacher ratio during the school day to accelerate student learning and extended learning time after school and during the summer. We have also added additional staff to reduce class sizes and provide targeted support to English learners, low income students, foster youth and students with disabilities. This increased level of staffing includes three certificated teachers and two classified intervention staff members per site.

NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference: Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5, 47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section 6312.

LOCAL CONTROL AND ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN AND ANNUAL UPDATE APPENDIX

For the purposes of completing the LCAP in reference to the state priorities under Education Code sections 52060 and 52066, the following shall apply:

- (a) "Chronic absenteeism rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30) who are chronically absent where "chronic absentee" means a pupil who is absent 10 percent or more of the schooldays in the school year when the total number of days a pupil is absent is divided by the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the regular day schools of the district, exclusive of Saturdays and Sundays.
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (b) "Middle School dropout rate" shall be calculated as set forth in California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 1039.1.
- (c) "High school dropout rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of cohort members who dropout by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
 - (2) The total number of cohort members.
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (d) "High school graduation rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of cohort members who earned a regular high school diploma [or earned an adult education high school diploma or passed the California High School Proficiency Exam] by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
 - (2) The total number of cohort members.
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).

- (e) "Suspension rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was suspended during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (f) "Expulsion rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was expelled during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).

8-22-14 [California Department of Education]

Attachment A DRAFT, 6/3/15 - Page 68 of 68

Matrix Showing Moreland School District LCAP Goals and State Priorities Addressed by Each Goal

	Priority 1: Basic Services	Priority 2: Implementation of State Standards	Priority 3: Parental Involvement	Priority 4: Pupil Achievement	Priority 5: Pupil Engagement	Priority 6: School Climate	Priority 7: Course Access	Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes
Goal 1a: Close the achievement gap while raising the achievement of all students - effective instruction.	x	x		x			x	x
Goal 1b: Close the achievement gap while raising the achievement of all students - interventions in math and ELA/ELD.				x	x	x	x	x
Goal 1c: Close the achievement gap while raising the achievement of all students –professional development.		x		x			х	
Goal 2: Ensure effective communication - with staff, students, parents and community.			x		x	x		
Goal 3: Attract, support, and retain exemplary staff - a culture that values and honors staff.	x					x		
Goal 4: Prepare students to become responsible citizens – life skills, health and social responsibility curriculum.					x	x		
Goal 5: Ensure fiscal stability – fiscal planning and budgeting.	х							
Goal 6: Increase the physical capacity of the district: integrate a new school into the district.	x		x		x	x		